Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science

Volume 28 | Number 1

Article 12

2023

Review of Key Derivation Functions in Cryptographic Systems

Hasan Kadhim A. Alsuwaiedi Iraqi Commission for Computers & Informatics, Informatics Institute for Postgraduate Studies, Baghdad, Iraq, phd202030563@iips.icci.edu.iq

Abdul Monem S. Rahma Computer Science Department Al-Maarif University College, Iraq

Follow this and additional works at: https://gjps.researchcommons.org/home

Part of the Biology Commons, Chemistry Commons, Computer Sciences Commons, Environmental Sciences Commons, Geology Commons, Mathematics Commons, and the Nanotechnology Commons

Recommended Citation

Alsuwaiedi, Hasan Kadhim A. and Rahma, Abdul Monem S. (2023) "Review of Key Derivation Functions in Cryptographic Systems," *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*: Vol. 28 : No. 1, Article 12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.29350/2411-3514.1011

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science by an authorized editor of Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. For more information, please contact bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq.

ARTICLE

Review of Key Derivation Functions in Cryptographic Systems

Hasan Kadhim A. Alsuwaiedi ^a,*, Abdul Monem S. Rahma^b

^a Iraqi Commission for Computers & Informatics, Informatics Institute for Postgraduate Studies, Baghdad, Iraq

^b Computer Science Department Al-Maarif University College, Al Anbar, Iraq

Abstract

Because of its significance in the formation of the core of encryption/decryption algorithms, the key derivation function (KDF) or pseudorandom number generator (PRNG) plays a major part in the cryptographic system. Random numbers are required for the usage of encryption and decryption techniques in a variety of network security applications. In this research we Focus on key generation functions, which are an important aspect of symmetric and asymmetric algorithms. Disgusting the background of PRNG basics and attempting to characterize and evaluate several publications that offer proposals for key generators.

Keywords: Key derivation function, Pseudorandom number generator (PRNG), Key generation

1. Introduction

T he continuous developments in digital information technology, which are made by the evolution in the digital and electronic contents and applications, in addition to, the expansion of the Internet network, have complicated the digital world and necessitates the preservation of critical and sensitive data transmitted. It is also well known that Internet communication devices and tools are very inexpensive around the world, with the only negative being the issue of security, which can lead to the urgent need to invest heavily in the development of encryption methods. In today's digital world, information security has become one of the most crucial criteria [1].

In information security systems, key derivation functions or pseudorandom number generators (PRNGs) are employed in numerous domains of technology. The PRNG can be categorized based on several characteristics, including the implementation technique (software, hardware), immunity to exposure (cryptographically secure, cryptographically insecure), and the algorithms used the one-way functions, elementary functions, and the shift registers [2].

When a key is strong, it can withstand cryptanalysis, even if the attacker discovers all system information linked to the production or verification of the encryption key. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section Background, we go through the basics of the infrastructure, as well as the different types of key generation functions and how they're implemented. In Section PRNG key generator tests, Tests and measurements for the PRNG Key Generator are explained. In Section Literature survey, the analysis of the comparison table. Finally, in section Comparison table, the Conclusions are stated.

2. Background

2.1. Key derivation function

The Key Derivation Function (KDF) is a function that takes an input value (key and other input data) and returns an additional key that cryptographic algorithms can use. The KDF uses pseudorandom

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.29350/2411-3514.1011 2411-3514/© 2023 College of Science University of Al-Qadisiyah. This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Received 15 September 2022; revised 26 November 2022; accepted 8 December 2022. Available online 26 May 2023

E-mail addresses: phd202030563@iips.icci.edu.iq (H.K.A. Alsuwaiedi), monem.rahma@uoa.edu.iq (A.M.S. Rahma).

functions. The Key Derivation Function was explained as a process that possibly involves two different steps: 1) extraction of randomness to generate an initial key. 2) Iterative key expansion to generate new keys from the starting key and other inputs.

Inside the KDF, a pseudorandom function is used which is considered as a basic construction block represented as PRF(s,x) where *s* as index or seed and input variables *x*. The pseudorandom function's output can be utilized as keying material [1]. For the derivation, the use of either the keyed-hash Authentication Message (HMAC) or the Message Authentication Message (CMAC), which uses ciphers considered as the pseudorandom function [1,2].

A key derivation function iterates a pseudorandom function several times and concatenates the results until the required length bits of keying material are generated as in Fig. 1 below [3].

The cryptographic applications typically using the algorithmic techniques concerned the generation of the pseudorandom numbers which can be used in, key distribution and mutual authentication schemes, session key generation, RSA public-key algorithm creation, and bitstream generation in symmetric encryption (ex. RC4 algorithm) [4].

There are two types of pseudorandom number generator algorithms:

- The linear congruential generator: the linear congruential method is a widely used technique proposed by Lehmer for pseudorandom generators. Represented by the equation:

 $X_{n+1} = (aX_n + c) \mod m$

т	the modulus	m > 0
а	the multiplier	0 < a < m
С	the increment	$0 \leq c < m$
T 7	.1 1	1 0 / 17

 X_0 the starting value, or seed $0 \le X_0 < m$



Fig. 1. Pseudorandom number generators.

The *m*, *a*, *c* and X_0 are integers, then the equation will generate a sequence with integers in the domain $0 \le Xn \le m$. The chosen values *a*, *c*, and *m* is crucial in generating a good random number technique [4].

- Blum Blum Shub generator: The Blum-Blum-Shub (BBS) generator (writers Lenore Blum, Manuel Blum, and Michael Shub) was first proposed in 1986 and has since become a widely used secure PRNG technique. It's built on the employment of a crypto-secured one-way function that's focused on program implementation. The BBS generator generates a *Bi*-bit sequence using the following algorithm:

$$X_0 = s^2 \mod n$$

for i=1 to ∞
 $X_i = (X_i-1)^2 \mod n$
 $B_i = X_i \mod 2$

s is a random number relatively prime to *n*. $n = p^*$ *q* (both *p* and *q* are prime numbers too). As a result, for each iteration, the least significant bit is taken. as shown in Fig. 2 [5].

2.2. Utilization of pseudorandom number generation

2.2.1. Block cipher

One of the famous approaches to building the PRNG, by using the symmetric block cipher which is considered the core of PRNG mechanisms. Any input clear text (plaintext), produces a symmetric block cipher text as a random output. Therefore, a symmetric block cipher is a good mechanism to construct a pseudorandom number generator.

DES and AES are considered standard block cipher mechanisms therefore the security distinctive of the PRNG can be confirmed and established



Fig. 2. Blum blum shub block diagram.



(a) CTR mode

(b) OFB mode

Fig. 3. Block cipher-based PRNG mechanisms.

in many implementations of DES and AES applications.

The counter CTR, in every plaintext block, is XORed with an encrypted counter. For each subsequent block, the counter is increased. And the Output Feedback OFB when the previous encryption output is utilized as the input to the encryption process, and entire blocks are employed. Both the CTR and OFB represent the two approaches to using the block ciphers for constructing the PRNG as illustrated in Fig. 3 [4].

2.2.2. Stream ciphers

Stream ciphers encrypt one bit or byte or larger than a byte at one time. Fig. 4 depicted the structure of the stream ciphers, which depicted the encryption and decryption process with the combination of the pseudorandom number generation (keystream generator). The stream cipher could be as secure as a block cipher with the same key length. If there is an application that demands encryption and decryption of stream data, over a communication channel or web link/browser, the stream cipher might be better than the block cipher [5].

RC4 is one of the basic stream cipher algorithms designed by Rone Rivest for RSA in 1986 which uses the random permutation. One of its main implementations is the wireless LAN standard IEEE 802.11, of Wi-Fi Protocol Access (WPA) which uses the RC4 algorithm [6].

3. PRNG key generator tests

The output secrecy of PRNG produces specific requirements in the disciplines of randomization, unpredictability, and seed characters arise as a result.



Fig. 4. Stream cipher diagram.

- Randomness: although being deterministic, the resulting PRNG bit stream should appear random. Randomness has three characteristics:
 - Uniformity: this means in short, it's equally likely that zero or one will appear, with the probability of each being exactly as n/2, where n = sequence length.
 - Scalability: If a chain is random, any subsequence retrieved from it should be random as well.
 - Consistency: A generator's behavior must be consistent across different starting values (seeds) [4].

The NIST standards SP 800–22 registered fifteen statistical analysis recommended tests, beyond the scope of this paper. Therefore we list three important types as follows:

- *Frequency test*: The target of this mechanism is to specify whether a sequence's number of ones and zeros are even and the same as it would be predicted for a truly random sequence.
 - *Runs test*: The goal of this method is to check if the number of one-and-zero runs of various lengths is as predicted for a random pattern [7].
 - *Maurer's test*: The aim of this test is the number of bits between the same equivalent patterns (compressed sequence). The test's goal is to see if the matching sequence can be compressed greatly without sacrificing any information [7].
- Seed requirements: the seed that works for input to the PRNG must be secure for cryptographic applications. Because the PRNG is a deterministic algorithm, the output can be classified if the attacker can determine the seed. As a result, the seed must be unpredictably unexpected. The seed should, in reality, be a pseudorandom digit [4].
- Unpredictability: should be present in a stream of pseudorandom numbers (both forward and backward). If the created bit-stream appears random, it is hard to forecast a specific bit or bitsequence based on previous bits' capacities. Also, if the bit-sequence looks to be random, there is no way to guess the seed from the bitsequence [6].

4. Literature survey

S.M and A.M in this paper [8], proposed a new modification to the Blowfish algorithm which use three generated keys, instead of using an XOR, a

multi-state operation is used in the encryption and decryption procedures. Rather than one for calculating the state table numbers and managing the variable block bit widths (1, 2, 4, and 8 bits). To increase the complexity of the proposed technique, these tables are created from the addition table in a Galois field GF (2n) based on variable block bit size.

W. W and C. W [9], proposed a security framework model known as The CAM (Adaptive Chosen All Inputs Model) examines the security of KDFs in terms of bit flipping and timing attacks. Existing KDFs are evaluated using this security model. The results reveal that for both the bit-flipping and timing attacks, none of the available KDFs are safe in CAM. The cryptographic keys' security feature is indistinguishable from random strings of identical length. As a result, this study proposes a new KDF that has been shown secure in CAM.

L.T and H.L [10] submitted a modified data encryption algorithm in cloud computing. By analyzing and modifying the advanced encryption standard for data security in cloud computing through a proposing a random disturbance information to improve the data security, by column mix operation and key choreography in AES are improved. Tests and experiments are done on Hadoop, indicate that the proposed solutions ensures that the attribute privacy and algorithm efficiency for data storage in mobile cloud computing.

Talib M and Lahieb Mohammed [11], based on the confusion and diffusion architecture they proposed a new concept of text encryption algorithm. Suggested Pseudo-Random Number Generator (PRNG) approach derived from the input key's SHA-1 digest and plain text using Newton Raphson's method. The suggested PRNG was designed to generate a random integer key sequence that is the same length as the plaintext needed to permute the characters locations in the confusion stage, as well as a starting key for the RC4 random generator. During the diffusion step, the RC4 method is employed to produce a new PRNG key sequence to modify the value of the characters.

Álvarez. R and Martínez. F [12] proposes a novel nonlinear filter design that improved the output sequences of pseudo random generators in terms of complexity. It is built on four seed-dependent substitution-boxes, an evolving internal state register, and a mixture of different sorts of operations with the goal of diffusing nonrandom patterns of input sequence. It is inspired by techniques used in symmetric ciphers. The approach delivers great unpredictability and can even convert a basic counter generator into fully useable pseudo random sequences, according to test findings. Furthermore, the performance was great while the storage use was minimal, and the implementation used lowpower computing platforms.

K. Raghunanda and Aithal Ganesh [13] using the notion of false modulus and the extended Pell's equation, we may improve security. The extended Pell's equation reveals that the public key exponent is dependent on multiple factors, making obtaining the private key parameter a major difficulty. Which reflect on emphasizes the RSA by propose a new method of key generation.

Alz W. and V. Liwandouw [14] the diffusion qualities of the Shannon principle may be achieved by using logistics functions as a random number generator in a cryptography procedure. This research proposes three strategies for providing input key flexibility while employing the domain logistics function's x0 value. Each method does not provide a sample that is inversely or directly proportional to the value of x0 and relative error x0. As a result, key inputs may be used to determine the existence of logistical functions in creating chaotic numbers. Furthermore, the resulting random numbers are dispersed equally across the chaotic range, which strengthens the process if used as a key in cryptography.

H. Atee, R. Ahmad [15] proposed a sub key generation concept for achieving a secure cryptosystem based on Artificial Neural Network (ANN) algorithm. The input hidden layer weights and data are initialized (in each cycle). The initialization key is based on the topology of the ANN, the activation function, and the seeds for the Pseudo-Random Number Generator (PRNG). The output layer weights are used to create the sub-key in each round.

J. Mcginthy, A. Michaels [16] introduced a pseudorandom number generator (PRNG) based on the Residue Number System (RNS) and the key derivation function (PKDF) that has high initial energy efficiency for IoT devices. Implementations were performed, Subsequent embedded software on a MSP430 and MSP432 (mixed-signal microcontroller) and the key generation function of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) 1.3 hash-based message authentication code (HMAC). Adding an extra layer of security on top of the PRNGs to provide design flexibility for resource-constrained devices.

Baby T. and Sujatha R [17]. Chaotic autonomous oscillator circuits were created in this research to generate a binary sequence that may be utilized as a key generator for cryptographic methods to ensure data security. The resulting binary sequences are tested for randomness using the NIST standard test and the Dieharder battery test. Furthermore, these random sequences may be utilized for a variety of purposes, including NONCE creation, One-timepad, and initial password in cryptosystem settings.

5. Comparison table

No. Ref	Algorithm	Key size	Flexible	Feature	Methods of key Generation
[8]	New modifica- tion of Blowfish >algorithm	Variable block bit size	Efficiency	The results demonstrate that the >keys are more resis- tant to >at- tempts to shatter them.	Multi-state ta- bles operation >instead of an XOR operations
[9]	CAM is a new security model >for analyzing the security >of KDFs.	keys cannot be >distin- guished from random >strings of equal length.	Security versus >perfor- mance >efficiency trade- off	In terms of bit- flipping and >timing attacks, assess the >se- curity of KDFs.	Randomness timing of the >alternative KDF
[10]	A Modified Advanced >Encryption Standard (AES)	key choreography and >column mix operation	yes	In mobile cloud computing, >there is excel- lent security for external >data storage.	algorithm has better key >sensitivity
				(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

In this table we analyze and compare the chosen papers in the subjects of Algorithm used, Key size, Flexible, Feature and Methods of key Generation

(continued on next page)

(continued	(continued)				
No. Ref	Algorithm	Key size	Flexible	Feature	Methods of key Generation
[11]	Enhanced RC4 Algorithm	The input key's SHA-1 >digest.	high-performance and >high-security	Results comes with big secret >key size for resisting force- brute >attacks, high sensitivity at secret >key and plain-text, resisting >the entropy attack, and generating >uniform histo- gram, and time fact >oncruption	Chaotic pseudo- random >generator
[12]	A novel nonlinear filter that >enhances the output pat- terns >of commonly used pseudo >random generators.	Key-stream sequences	Excellent performance	High unpredict- ability is ach- ieved, >and it's even possible to turn a >simple counter encoder into perfectly >useable pseudo random patterns.	The combina- tion of numerous >sorts of opera- tions is based on >four seed- dependent sub- stitution->boxes and a devel- oping internal >state register
[13]	Enhanced Algo- rithm RSA	Public key exponent	The encrypting and >decryption processes take >the same amount of time.	The suggested cryptosystem is >difficult in the same way that >the factoriza- tion methem is	Forged modulus was utilized, >and Pell's equation was >generalized.
[14]	Designed a scheme capable >of providing input key of >generation function	1	providing key input >flexibility	When used as a key in >cryp- tography, the algorithm's >strength.	Key inputs can be used to create >logistics functions for creating >chaotic numbers
[15]	Artificial Neural Network >(ANN) algorithm	Initialized key depend >on ANN topology	Efficiency	The ANN output layer weights >are used to create the sub-key >in each round	Seeds and acti- vating function for >Pseudo Random Num- ber >Generator (PRNG)
[16]	Based on a pseudorandom >number generator, the >Residue Num- ber System >(RNS) was developed	The key is determined >using the key derivation >function (KDF)	Providing flexibility	Implementa- tions were >performed, Subsequent >embedded software on a >MSP430 and MSP432 >(mixed-signal microcontroller)	Key derivation function for >hash-based message authentication >code (HMAC). On top of the >PRNGs, an extra layer of >protection is added.

(continued on next page)

(continued)

No. Ref	Algorithm	Key size	Flexible	Feature	Methods of key Generation
[17]	chaotic autono- mous oscillator >circuits cryp- tographic >algorithms	stream	used for various >pro- tocols >in crypto systems	The resulting binary se- quences > are tested for randomness using > the NIST standard and > Dieharder bat- tery tests.	generate a bi- nary sequences >which can be used as key

6. Conclusion

In this research, we talked about a background of the PRNG fundamentals and review at several key derivation functions or pseudorandom number generators (PRNG) for various algorithms across times (2016–2022). Writing about key generation security, a literary research review, and a paper by some authors about methods that work with any algorithm. We noticed the efficiency and security of algorithms employed in all forms of algorithms when analyzing the suggested research on key creation in various types. This article literature review gives a brief introduction and analysis of algorithms, as well as some guidance on how to improve encryption systems to ensure network security.

References

- Chen Lily. Recommendation for key derivation using pseudorandom functions. Draft NIST Special Publication 800-108; October 2021.
- [2] FIPS 198-1, The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC), Revision expected to be published in; 2008.
- [3] Dworkin Morris. Recommendation for block cipher modes of operation – the CMAC mode for authentication. NIST SP 800-38B; 2016. INCLUDES UPDATES AS OF 10-06.
- [4] Stallings William. Cryptography and network security principles and practice. 7th ed. Global Edition. Pearson Education Limited; 2017.
- [5] Yu Shanshan. Development of modified blum-blum-shub pseudorandom sequence generator and its use in education. Measure Sci Rev 2022;22(No. 3):143–51.
- [6] Talib M. Jawad, an enhanced RC4 algorithm using an efficient PRNG generation method based on Newton Raphson method, SHA-1 and piecewise chaos method, college of

information engineering, Al-nahrain university, baghdad. J Xi'an Univ Architec Technol 2020;XII(Issue IV). ISSN No : 1006-7930.

- [7] Galbraith Steven D. Mathematics of public key cryptography. Cambridge University Press; 2018.
- [8] Kareema Suhad Muhajer, Rahma Abdul Monem S. A new multi-level key block cypher based on the Blowfish algorithm. TELKOMNIKA Telecommun, Comput, Electron Control April 2020;18(No. 2):685–94.
- [9] Koh Wen Wen, Chuah Chai Wen. Robust security framework with bit-flipping attack and timing attack for key derivation functions. Institut Eng Technol 2020;14(5):562-71.
- [10] Teng Lin, Li Hang. A modified advanced encryption standard for data security. International J Network Secur 2019. First Online June 26, 2019 (VDOI: 1816-3548-2019-00016.
- [11] Jawad Talib M, Mohammed Lahieb. An enhanced RC4 algorithm using an efficient PRNG generation method based on Newton Raphson method, SHA-1 and piecewise chaos method. J Xi'an Univ Architec Technol 2020;XII(Issue IV): 4466. ISSN No: 1006-7930.
- [12] Álvarez Rafael, Martínez Francisco. Improving the statistical qualities of pseudo random number generators. Symmetry 2022; 14:269. Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence (DCCIA), University of Alicante, 03690 Alicante, Spain.
- [13] Raghunanda KR. Aithal Ganesh, key generation using generalized pell's equation in public key cryptography based on the prime fake modulus principle to image encryption and its security analysis. Cybern Inf Technol 2020;20(No 3). Sofia.
- [14] Wowor Alz Danny, Liwandouw Vania Beatrice. Domain examination of chaos logistics function as A key generator in cryptography. Int J Electri Comput Eng (IJECE) 2018;8(No. 6): 4577–83. December.
- [15] Atee Hayfaa, Ahmad Robiah, Noor Norliza, Yasari Abidulkarim. Machine learning based key generating for cryptography. J Eng Appl Sci 2016;11:1829–34. https:// doi.org/10.3923/jeasci.2016.1829.1834.
- [16] Mcginthy J M, Michaels A J. "Further Analysis of PRNG-Based Key Derivation Functions," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 95978–86, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2928768.
- [17] Baby H, Sujatha B. (2021). Chaotic key generators for data security. IOP Conf Series: Mater Sci Eng. 1110. 012013. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1110/1/012013.