

2023

## Some Properties of Almost Periodic Points in a Random Dynamical System

Qays Arif Wali

*University of Al-Qadisiyah, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Smmawa, Iraq,*  
Ma20.post17@qu.edu.iq

Ihsan Jabbar

*University of Al-Qadisiyah, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Najaf, Iraq*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home>



Part of the [Biology Commons](#), [Chemistry Commons](#), [Computer Sciences Commons](#), [Environmental Sciences Commons](#), [Geology Commons](#), [Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Nanotechnology Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Wali, Qays Arif and Jabbar, Ihsan (2023) "Some Properties of Almost Periodic Points in a Random Dynamical System," *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*: Vol. 28 : No. 1 , Article 13.

Available at: <https://doi.org/10.29350/2411-3514.1012>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science by an authorized editor of Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. For more information, please contact [bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq](mailto:bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq).

# Some Properties of Almost Periodic Points in a Random Dynamical System

Qays Arif <sup>a,\*</sup>, Ihsan Jabbar <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Al-Qadisiyah, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Smmawa, Iraq

<sup>b</sup> University of Al-Qadisiyah, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Najaf, Iraq

## Abstract

Photonic crystal fibers in the late period occupied a wide range of studies and research because of the ease of dealing with them in terms of design and installation, as there is a group of parameters used in them that can affect the refractive index of the pulse propagation through it, including the diameter of the air holes, the distance between the air holes and their number, as this study showed in addition to the aforementioned parameters The effect of temperature on the refractive index was also studied. It has been observed that with an increase in the diameter of the air holes, the refractive index increases and, conversely, the increase in the distance between them leads to a decrease in the refractive index. As for the number of air holes, it has no clear effect. As for the temperature, which is proportional to the frequency and intensity, this increase in temperature leads to an increase in the refractive index of the pulse passing through the photonic crystal fiber. Changing the temperature of the photonic crystal fiber is an interesting for dynamics fine refractive index tuning in active refractive index shift compensation system. This paper present a numerical analysis on the effect of photonic crystal fiber temperature on refractive index and modal features. The research depend on regular hexagonal crystal lattice fibers with specific geometric parameters using finite element method.

**Keywords:** Photonic crystal fibers (PCFs), Temperature (T), Refractive index (n), Finite element method

## 1. Introduction

Random dynamical systems (RDSs) are the most widely used in the modeling of many phenomena in physics, climatology economics, biology, etc. The random effects frequently reproduce essential properties of these phenomena before just to reward for the faults in deterministic models. The history of the study of RDSs energies backbone to Ulam and von Neumann in 1945 [1] and succeeded since the 1980s due to the detection that the solutions of stochastic ordinary differential equations profit a cocycle over a metric dynamical system which models randomness, i.e. a random dynamical system. For deterministic dynamical system on metric spaces, the concept of almost periodic point is established well for details see [2]. Throughout this paper,  $X$  = metric space,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

is a probability space,  $X^{\Omega}$  the set of all measurable functions from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ ,  $N$  the set of all nbds. In section 2, we present some definitions and the results [2–6]. In section 3, the concept of almost random periodic point is introduced and some essential properties of such set are proved.

## 2. Preliminary

**Definition 2.1 [3]:** A subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is said to be relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists a positive number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $E \cap [a, a+l] \neq \emptyset$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Where  $[a, a+l] := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq t \leq a+l\}$ .

**Definition 2.2 [6]:** The 5-tuple  $(\mathbb{R}, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$  is called a metric dynamical system (Shortly MDS) if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space and

- (i)  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable,
- (ii)  $\theta(0, \omega) = \omega$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ ,
- (iii)  $\theta(t+s, \omega) = \theta(t, \theta(s, \omega))$   $\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$

Received 5 April 2022; revised 15 May 2022; accepted 24 May 2022.  
Available online 26 May 2023

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [Ma20.post17@qu.edu.iq](mailto:Ma20.post17@qu.edu.iq) (Q. Arif), [Ihsan.kadhim@qu.edu.iq](mailto:Ihsan.kadhim@qu.edu.iq) (I. Jabbar).

<https://doi.org/10.29350/2411-3514.1012>

2411-3514/© 2023 College of Science University of Al-Qadisiyah. This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

(iv)  $\mathbb{P}(\theta_t(F)) = \mathbb{P}(F)$ , for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.3 [6]:** A topological RDS on the topological space  $X$  over the MDS  $(\mathbb{T}, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$  is a measurable RDS which satisfies in addition the following property: For each  $\omega \in \Omega$  the function  $\varphi(\cdot, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X, (t, x) \mapsto \varphi(t, \omega, x)$ , is continuous.

**Definition 2.4 [6]:** A measurable random dynamical system on the measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$  over (or covering, or extending) an MDS  $(\mathbb{R}, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$  with time is a mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ , with the following properties:

Measurability,  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}$ -measurable.

Cocycle property: The mappings  $\varphi(t, \omega) := \varphi(t, \omega, \cdot) : X \rightarrow X$  form a cocycle over  $\theta(\cdot)$ , i.e. they satisfy

$$\varphi(0, \omega, x) = x \text{ for all}$$

$$\omega \in \Omega, x \in X \tag{1}$$

$$\varphi(t+s, \omega, x) = \varphi(t, \theta(s)\omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega, x) \text{ for all}$$

$$s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega, x \in X \tag{2}$$

If there is no ambiguity the RDS is denoted by  $(\theta, \varphi)$  rather than  $(\mathbb{R}, \Omega, X, \theta, \varphi)$ .

**Definition 2.5 [6]:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space and  $(X, d)$  be a metric space which is considered a measurable space with Borel  $\sigma$ - algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . The set-valued function  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X), \omega \mapsto A(\omega)$ , is said to be random set if for each  $x \in X$  the function  $\omega \mapsto d(x, A(\omega))$  is measurable. If  $A(\omega)$  is connected closed (compact) for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , it is called a random connected closed(compact) set.

**Definition 2.6 [4]:** Let  $D : \omega \mapsto D(\omega)$  be a multifunction. We call the multifunction  $\omega \mapsto \gamma_D^t(\omega) := \bigcup_{\tau \geq t} \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)D(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$ , the tail (from the moment  $t$ ) of the pull back trajectories emanating from  $D$ . If  $D(\omega) = \{v(\omega)\}$  is a single valued function, then  $\omega \mapsto \gamma_v(\omega) \equiv \gamma_D^0(\omega)$  is said to be the (pull back) trajectory emanating from  $v$ .  $\omega \mapsto \gamma_v(\omega) := \bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)v(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$ .

In the deterministic case  $\Omega$  is a one-point set and  $\varphi(t, \omega) = \varphi(t)$  is a semigroup of continuous mappings.

Therefore in this case the tail  $\gamma_D^t$  has the form:

$$\gamma_D^t = \bigcup_{\tau \geq t} \varphi(\tau)D = \bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} \varphi(\tau)(\varphi(t)D) = \gamma_{\varphi(t)D}^0.$$

**Definition(Invariance Property) 2.7 [6]:** Let  $(\theta, \varphi)$  be a measurable RDS and a multifunction  $\omega \mapsto D(\omega)$  is said to be invariant with respect to  $(\theta, \varphi)$  if  $\varphi(t, \omega)D(\omega) = D(\theta_t\omega)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Definition 2.8 [7]:** A random variable  $v \in X^\Omega$  is said to be random periodic point of a RDS  $(\theta, \varphi)$  if  $\forall$

$t \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \tau \neq 0$  such that  $\varphi(\tau + t, \omega)x(\omega) = \varphi(t, \theta_\tau\omega)x(\theta_\tau\omega)$ .

**Definition 2.9 [8]:** Let  $(\theta, \varphi)$  be a random dynamical system. A random subset  $M(\omega)$  of  $X$  is called minimal whenever it is non-empty random invariant set and random closed set while no proper random subset of  $M(\omega)$  has these properties.

**Corollary 2.10 [2]:** Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be continuous mapping

and let  $A$  and  $B$  be compact subset of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, then  $\forall w \in N_{A \times B} \exists (u, v) \in N_A \times N_B$  such that  $u \times v \subseteq w$ , consequently  $\forall w' \in N_{f(A) \times f(B)} \exists (u, v) \in N_{f(A)} \times N_{f(B)}$  such that  $f(u \times v) \subseteq w'$ .

**Theorem 2.11 [5]:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any topological space, let  $A \subseteq X$  be any open set, and let  $B \subseteq X$  be any other set (not necessarily open or closed). If  $A \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$  then  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section the concept of almost random periodic point is stated and some new properties of such concept are studied.

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $M(\omega)$  be a non-empty random set in  $X$  the following properties of  $M(\omega)$  are equivalent:

- (i)  $\underline{M(\omega)}$  is minimal in  $X$
- (ii)  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) = M(\theta_\omega)$  for every  $v \in M(\omega)$
- (iii)  $M(\omega)$  is closed and invariant and for every non-empty random open subset  $U$  of  $X$  either  $M(\omega) \cap (\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \emptyset$  or  $M(\omega) \subset (\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\})$

**Proof:** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) if  $v \in M(\omega)$  then  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) \subset M(\theta_\omega)$  and  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) \subset \underline{M(\theta_\omega)}$  because  $M(\omega)$  is closed and invariant. Since  $\gamma_v^t(\omega)$  is closed and invariant set we must have  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) = M(\theta_\omega)$ , i.e.  $\gamma_v^t(\omega)$  will be a non-empty proper subset of  $\underline{M(\theta_\omega)}$ , a contradiction to minimality of  $M(\omega)$ .  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) \neq M(\theta_\omega)$

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) if (ii) holds then  $M(\omega)$  is closed and invariant, suppose  $U$  is a non-empty random open set in  $X$  such that

$$M(\omega) \cap \{\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if } v \in \underline{M(\omega)} \text{ then by (ii)}$$

$\gamma_v^t(\omega) \cap \{\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}\} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega) : X \rightarrow X$  is homeomorphism  $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ , we get  $\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$  is open  $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ , since  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) \cap \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) \neq \emptyset \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ , then by Theorem 2.11 we get  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) \cap \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) \neq \emptyset$  then there exist  $y \in \gamma_v^t(\omega)$  and  $y \in \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $y \in \gamma_v^t(\omega)$  then there exist  $\tau_0 \geq t \ni y = \varphi(\tau_0, \theta_{-\tau_0}\omega)v$  and  $\tau_1 \geq t \ni y \in \varphi(\tau_1, \theta_{-\tau_1}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau_1}\omega)$  then  $\varphi(\tau_0, \theta_{-\tau_0}\omega)v \in \varphi(\tau_1, \theta_{-\tau_1}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau_1}\omega)$ , since  $\varphi(\tau_1, \theta_{-\tau_1}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau_1}\omega) \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,

Then  $\varphi(\tau^\circ, \theta_{-\tau^\circ}\omega)v \in \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $v \in \cup\{\varphi(\tau^\circ, \theta_{-\tau^\circ}\omega)^{-1} \circ \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $\in \cup\{\varphi(-\tau^\circ, \omega) \circ \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $\ni \omega := \theta_{-\tau^\circ}\omega$   
 $\in \cup\{\varphi(\tau - \tau^\circ, \omega) \circ \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $\ni \omega := \theta_{-\tau}\omega$   
 $v \in \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $M(\omega) \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .  
 (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) let  $N(\omega)$  be closed random invariant subset of  $N(\omega)$ ,  $N(\omega) \neq M(\omega)$ . Then  $U(\omega) := X/N(\omega)$  is anon-empty random set Then by (iii) either  $M(\omega) \cap (\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \emptyset$   
 Or  $M(\omega) \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .  
 Now if  $M(\omega) \cap (\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \emptyset$

$M(\omega) \cap (\cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \emptyset$   
 $M(\omega) \cap (\cup\{U(\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \emptyset$   $M(\omega) \cap U(\omega) = \emptyset$   
 $M(\omega) \cap X/N(\omega) = \emptyset$   $M(\omega) = N(\omega)$  or if  
 $M(\omega) \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  then  
 $M(\omega) \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $M(\omega) \subset (\cup\{U(\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\})$   
 $M(\omega) \subset U(\omega)$   
 $M(\omega) \subset X/N(\omega)$  .Then  $N(\omega) \subset M(\omega) \subset X/N(\omega)$  i.e  
 $N(\omega) = \emptyset$  . Thus  $M(\omega)$  is minimal ■

**Theorem 3. 2:**  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is minimal if and only if  $\forall y \in X$  such that then  $v \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is minimal. Let  $y \in X$ , if  $y \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)}$  then by **Theorem 3.1**  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)} = \overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  so  $v \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  , conversely suppose that  $\forall y \in X : y \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)}$  then  $v \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)}$ . We show that  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is minimal, and is non-empty closed invariant random set. Suppose that  $M(\omega)$  be anon-empty closed invariant subset of  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$ . If  $y \in M(\omega)$  then  $y \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  and by hypothesis  $v \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)}$ . Since  $M(\omega)$  is closed and invariant then  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)} \subset M(\theta_t\omega)$  so  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)} \subset M(\omega)$ . Then  $v \in M(\omega)$  by a similar argument we have  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)} \subset M(\omega)$  but  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)} \subset M(\omega) \equiv \overline{\gamma_v^t(\theta_{-t}\omega)} \subset M(\omega)$ , thus we have  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)} = M(\omega)$ . This means that  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is Minimal ■

**Definition 3.3:** A random variable  $\nu$  is said to be random almost periodic point if for each open random set  $U(\omega)$  with  $\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)$  ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ , the set  $D(\nu, U) := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$  is relatively dense.

**Theorem 3.4:** If  $\nu \in X$  is a random almost periodic point then  $\varphi(s, \omega, \nu)$  is also random almost periodic point.

**Proof:** let  $U(\omega)$  be an open random set with  $\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)$  ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$  one has:

$$D(\varphi(s, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega), U) := \{t+s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t+s, \omega)\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$$

$$= \{t+s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega, \varphi(s, \omega))\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$$

$$= \{t+s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega)\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$$

$$= \{t+s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in \varphi(-s, \theta_t\omega)U(\omega)\}$$

$$= D(\nu, \varphi(-s, \theta_t\omega)U(\omega))$$

Since  $D(\nu, U)$  is relatively dense, then  $D(\nu, \varphi(-s, \theta_t\omega)U(\omega))$  is also relatively dense. Hence  $\varphi(s, \omega, \nu)$  is random almost periodic point ■

**Theorem 3.5:** The set of all random almost periodic point in RDS  $(\theta, \varphi)$  is a random invariant set.

**Proof:** Let  $M$  be the set of all random almost periodic points define

$M : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  to show that  $\omega M(\omega) \neq \emptyset$  is measurable, let  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$

then  $\{\omega : d(x, M(\omega)) < \delta\} = \{\omega : M(\omega) \cap B(x, \delta) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Set  $U := B(x, \delta)$  be an open set implies  $\{\omega : M(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is measurable and hence  $\omega d(x, M(\omega))$  is measurable and hence  $M$  is random set. Now since  $\nu \in M$  then  $\nu$  is random almost periodic points i.e.  $\forall$  open random set

$U(\omega)$  with  $\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)$  ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$  the set  $D(\nu, U) := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$  is relatively dense, then  $\varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in M$ . Hence  $M$  is random invariant set ■

**Theorem 3.6:** If  $\nu$  is random periodic point in  $X$ , then  $\nu$  is a random almost periodic point.

**Proof:** Let  $\nu$  be a random periodic point and let  $U(\omega)$  be a random open set with  $\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)$  and  $\varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in U(\omega)$  and let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  be a positive number and  $s \in [0, l]$ . Now

$$\varphi(s, \omega) \circ \varphi(t, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\omega) \in \varphi(s, \omega)U(\omega), t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ implies}$$

$$\varphi(t+s, \omega)\nu(\omega) \in \varphi(s, \omega)U(\omega) \quad \varphi(t, \theta_s\omega)\nu(\theta_s\omega) \in \varphi(s, \omega)U(\omega)$$

$$\varphi(-s, \theta_t\omega) \circ \varphi(t, \theta_s\omega)\nu(\theta_s\omega)U(\omega)$$

$$\varphi(t-s, \theta_{t+s}\omega)\nu(\theta_s\omega) \in U(\omega)$$

Set  $t := t + s$

$$\varphi(t-s, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\theta_s\omega) \in U(\omega)$$

$$\{t-s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t-s, \theta_t\omega)\nu(\theta_s\omega) \in U(\omega)\}$$

$t-s \in D(\nu, U)$  implies that  $[t-l, t] \cap D(\nu, U) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $D(\nu, U)$  is relatively dense and hence  $\nu$  is random almost periodic point ■

**Theorem 3.7:** Let  $(\theta, \varphi)$  be a continuous RDS,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $B(\omega) \subset X$ . If  $A$  is compact and  $B(\omega)$  is closed then  $C(\omega) := \{\{\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)B(\theta_{-t}\omega) : t \in A, \forall \omega \in \Omega\}\}$  is closed random set.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X/C(\omega)$ , so  $x \notin C(\omega)$ . Then

$x \notin \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)B(\theta_{-t}\omega)$ , for some  $t \in A, \omega \in \Omega$ .

So.

$\varphi(t, \omega)x \notin \varphi(t, \omega)\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)B(\theta_{-t}\omega) = B(\omega)$ ,

for some  $t \in A, \omega \in \Omega$ . This implies that

$\{\varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)x : s \in -A, \omega \in \Omega\} \subset X/B(\omega)$

As  $-A$  is compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  ( $\because \gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \ni \gamma(x) = -x$  is continuous and  $A$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$  then  $\gamma(A) = -A$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and  $X/B(\omega)$

is open in  $X$  it follows from the Corollary 2.10 then there exists non-empty  $u(\omega)$  open set such that

$\{\varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)u(\theta_{-s}\omega) : s \in -A, \forall \omega \in \Omega\} \subset X/B(\omega)$

$\varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)u(\theta_{-s}\omega) \subset X/B(\omega)$  for all  $s \in -A$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$

$\varphi(s, \omega) \circ \varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)u(\theta_{-s}\omega) \subset \varphi(t, \omega)(X/B(\omega))$

$u(\theta_{-s}\omega) \subset \{\varphi(s, \omega)(X/B(\omega)) : s \in -A, \omega \in \Omega\}$

$= \{\varphi(s, \omega)(X) / \varphi(s, \omega)B(\omega) : s \in -A, \omega \in \Omega\}$

$= X/C(\omega)$

Then  $U(\omega) \cap C(\omega) = \emptyset$ . Thus each point of  $X/C(\omega)$  is interior point of  $X/C(\omega)$  then  $X/C(\omega)$  is random closed set ■

**Theorem 3.8:** Let  $(\theta, \varphi)$  be a continuous RDS with  $X$  is regular Hausdorff space and let  $v \in X$ .

i. If  $v$  is a random almost periodic point, then  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is a minimal random subset of  $X$ .

ii. If  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is compact and minimal, then  $v$  is a random almost periodic point.

iii. If  $X$  is locally compact space, then  $v$  is a random almost periodic point iff  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is a compact minimal random set.

**Proof:** (i) By Theorem 3.2. let  $y \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$ , in order to prove that  $v \in \overline{\gamma_y^t(\omega)}$ , consider an open random set  $U(\omega)$  with  $v \in U(\omega)$ . As  $X$  is regular,  $U(\omega)$  may be assumed to be closed in  $X$ . Since  $v$  is a random almost periodic point, we have  $\mathbb{R} = K + D(v, U)$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  then

$\gamma_v^t(\omega) = \{\varphi(\tau, \omega)v(\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$= \{\varphi(\tau, \omega)v(\omega) : \tau \in K + D(v, U)\}$

$\because t \in K + D(v, U) \exists k \in K, d \in D \ni \tau = k + d$

$\gamma_v^t(\omega) = \{\varphi(k + d, \omega)v(\omega) : k \in K, d \in D\}$

$= \{\varphi(k, \theta_d\omega) \circ \varphi(d, \omega)v(\omega) : k \in K, d \in D\} \subseteq \varphi(k, \omega)U$ .

Now Theorem 3.7 impels that  $\varphi(k, \omega)U$  is a closed subset of  $X$  hence  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)} \subseteq \varphi(k, \omega)U$ , consequently,  $y \in \varphi(k, \omega)U$  when  $\gamma_y^t(\omega) \cap U(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $v \in \overline{\gamma_y^t(\omega)}$  ■

(ii) let  $U(\omega)$  be an open random set with  $v \in U(\omega) \forall \omega \in \Omega$ . By assumption that  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is a compact and minimal random there is a finite subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)} \subset \cup\{\varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega) : \tau \in K\}$ . Now

if  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)v(\omega) \in \overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$ , so  $\varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)v(\omega) \in \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$  for some  $\tau \in K$  that is  $\varphi(-\tau + s, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)v(\omega) \in U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$   $\varphi(-\tau, \theta_{-s}\omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega)v(\omega) \in U(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$ ,

hence  $s \in \tau + D(v, U) \subseteq K + D(v, U)$ . This show that  $D(v, U)$  is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  ■

(iii) Since  $X$  is locally compact space. Then  $\forall x \in X \exists$  neighborhood  $\bar{V}$  to  $x$  such that  $\bar{V}$  is compact set in  $X$ . Recall that  $\forall v \in X \exists$  an open random set  $U(\omega)$  with  $v \in U(\omega)$  such that closure of  $U(\omega)$  is compact in  $X$ . Now if  $v$  is random almost periodic point then  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is minimal random set by

(i) Since  $\gamma_v^t(\omega) = \bigcup \varphi(\tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega)v(\theta_{-\tau}\omega)$  thus  $\gamma_v^t(\omega)$  is a compact minimal random set. Conversely, if  $\gamma_v^t(\omega)$  is compact and minimal random set then by (ii) we get  $v$  is random almost periodic point

**Corollary 3.9:** If  $X$  is compact and  $x \in X$ , then  $x$  is a random almost periodic point iff  $\overline{\gamma_x^t(\omega)}$  is a minimal random set.

**Proof:** Since  $X$  is compact and  $x \in X$  then  $X$  is locally compact then by Theorem 3.8 (iii) we get  $x$  is a random almost periodic point iff  $\overline{\gamma_v^t(\omega)}$  is a minimal random set

#### 4. Conclusion

The main objective of this work is to study the almost periodic point in random dynamical systems, where some of its characteristics have been studied as well as its relationship with certain concepts in random dynamical systems such as periodic points as well as minimal sets.

#### References

- [1] Ulam SM, von Neumann J. Random ergodic theorems. Bull Am Math Soc 1945;51.
- [2] de Vries J. Elements of topological dynamics. Springer Netherlands; 1993.
- [3] Cheban DN. Nonautonomous dynamics. Springer International Publishing; 2020.
- [4] Chueshov I. Monotone random systems theory and applications. Springer- Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Germany; 2002.
- [5] Kelley JL. General topology. Courier Dover Publications; 2017.
- [6] Arnold L. Random dynamical systems. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Germany; 1998.
- [7] Mohsin ST, Kadhim IJ. Some properties of random fixed points and random periodic points in random dynamical systems. Journal of Al- Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics 2018;10(3).
- [8] Kadhim IJ. Some results on random dynamical systems, P.h.D thesis. University of Al-Mustansiriyah; 2016. Iraq.