

4-7-2022

ON CoLC Topologies

Reyadh Delfy Ali

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Kerbala University. Iraq,
reyadh_delphi@uokerbala.edu.iq

Omlsad Adgheem Ali

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Aljufra University. Libya, Omlsaed.Alrabee@ju.edu.ly

Follow this and additional works at: <https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ali, Reyadh Delfy and Ali, Omlsad Adgheem (2022) "ON CoLC Topologies," *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*: Vol. 27: No. 1, Article 2.

DOI: 10.29350/qjps.2022.27.1.1470

Available at: <https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home/vol27/iss1/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science by an authorized editor of Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. For more information, please contact bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq.

ON CoLC Topologies

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
<p>a. Reyadh Delfi Ali b. Omlsad Adgheem Ali</p> <p>Article History Received on: 19/11/2021 Revised on: 28/11/2021 Accepted on: 11/1/2022</p> <p>Keywords: Co LC topologies, LC – space, Co- Lindelöf topologies, $WALC$ – space.</p> <p>DOI: https://doi.org/10.29350/jops.2022.27.1.1470</p>	<p>The purpose of this work is to continue the study of Co LC topologies and offer new characteristics of Co LC topologies and examine their relationships with other classes of topological spaces.</p>

1. Introduction:

Gauld, M., R, and Vamanamurthy [8] introduced the Co- Lindelöf topology $l(\psi) = \{\phi\} \cup \{O \in \psi : S - O \text{ is Lindelöf in } (S, \psi)\}$. They showed that $l(\psi)$ is a topology on S with $l(\psi) \subseteq \psi$,

A. Kanibir and P. Girgino [13] introduced the Co LC topology $lc(\psi) = \{\phi\} \cup \{O \in \psi : S - O \text{ is } LC\text{-subspace in } (S, \psi)\}$ is a topology on S with $lc(\psi) \subseteq \psi$.

In this paper is to study the basic properties of the Co LC topology .

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: (S, ψ) is an *LC-topological space* if every Lindelöf $F \subset S$ is closed [8], [16]. Also known *L-closed* [9], [10], [12] and [14].

Definition 2.2[24]: A topological space (S, ψ) is a *KC-space* if every compact $K \subset S$ is closed.

Definition 2.3[6]:

(S, ψ) is *cid-space* if every countable subset of S is closed and discrete.

Definition 2.4 [15]:

A topological space (S, ψ) is called *P-space* if every G_σ -open set in S is open.

Definition 2.5[1]:

A topological space (S, ψ) is a *Q-set space* if each subset of S is an F_σ -closed sets.

Definition 2.6 [2]: A topological space (S, ψ) is a weak *P-space* if every countable union of regular closed sets is closed.

Definition 2.7: A topological space (S, ψ) is almost Lindelöf if for every open cover ω of S there exists a countable subfamily $\beta \subset \omega$ such that $S = \bigcup_{O \in \beta} \overline{O}$. From the

definition that every Lindelöf space is almost Lindelöf [5], [23].

Definition 2.8 [22]:

A topological space (S, ψ) is *ALC-space* if every subset of S which is almost Lindelöf in S is closed.

Definition 2.9: A topological space (S, ψ) is weakly *ALC-space* *WALC-space* if every almost Lindelöf subset of S is closed [11]. Clearly, every *ALC-space* is an *WALC-space* and every *WALC-space* is an *LC-space*.

Definition 2.10 [7]: A topological space (S, Ψ) is called a Locally LC – space if each point of S has a neighborhood which is an LC – subspace. Clearly every LC – space is locally LC – space. In general the converse needs not be true [4], however every regular locally LC – space is LC – space.

Definition 2.11 [3]: A topological space (S, Ψ) is a R_1 – space if y and z have disjoint neighborhoods whenever $cl\{y\} \neq cl\{z\}$. Clearly a space is Hausdorff if and only if its T_1 and R_1 .

Definition 2.12 [1]: A topological space (S, Ψ) is said to be anti – Lindelöf if each Lindelöf subset of M is countable.

Definition 2.13 [2]:

- (1) If \forall Lindelöf F_σ – closed is closed. A space S is an L_1 .
- (2) If $H \subseteq S$ is Lindelöf, then clH is Lindelöf. A space S is an L_2 .
- (3) If \forall Lindelöf $H \subseteq S$ is an F_σ – closed. A space S is an L_3

Theorem 2.14 [2]:

- (i) If (S, Ψ) is an LC – space, then (S, Ψ) is a L_i – space, $i=1,2,3$.
- (ii) If (S, Ψ) is an L_1 – space and an L_3 – space, then (S, Ψ) is an LC – space.
- (iii) Every P – space is an L_1 – space. (v) Every L_3 – space is T_1 .

Corollary 2.15 [18]:

If (S, Ψ) is an LC – topological space then $(S, l(\Psi))$ is a P – topological space.

Corollary 2.16 [18]: Every P – space (S, Ψ) is weak P – space.

Theorem 2.17 [2]:

For a space (M, Γ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) (S, Ψ) is an L_1 – topological space.
- (b) $(S, l(\Psi))$ is a P – topological space.

Corollary 2.18[19]: Every Q -set L_1 -space is an LC -space.

Theorem 2.19 [2]: Every T_1 , anti-Lindelöf L_1 -space is an LC -space.

Corollary 2.20 [18]: If (S, ψ) is Lindelöf space then $l(\psi) = \psi$.

Theorem 2.21 [17]: A regular almost Lindelöf space is Lindelöf.

Theorem 2.22[19]: Every locally compact LC -space is a Hausdorff.

Corollary 2.23[19]:

(i) Every R_1LC -space is a Hausdorff. (ii) Every regular LC -space is a Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.24[15]: Every Hausdorff P -space is an LC -space.

Theorem 2.25[2]: For a Hausdorff space S the following are equivalent:

(a) S is an LC -space. (b) S is an L_1 -space and an L_2 -space.

Theorem 2.26 [19]: For a Hausdorff Lindelöf space S the following are equivalent:

(a) S is an LC -space. (b) S is an L_1 -space.

Corollary 2.27 [11]: For a Hausdorff Lindelöf space S , the following are equivalent:

(a) S is an ALC -space. (b) S is an $WALC$ -space. (c) S is LC -space.

(d) S is a P -space. (e) S is a weak P -space.

Theorem 2.28 [20]: For a regular space S the following are equivalent:

(a) S is an LC -space. (b) S is an $WALC$ -space.

Theorem 2.29 [19]: If (S, ψ) is a topological space and $N \subseteq S$, $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i$, where N_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

are closed and LC -subspaces in S , so N is LC -subspace.

Proposition 2.30 [13]: If (S, ψ) is an LC -space, then $\psi = lc(\psi)$.

Corollary 2.31 [13]:

Let (S, Ψ) be a topological space.

If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ has no Lindelöf -dense subset then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $LC - space$.

Theorem 2.32 [13]: For a space (S, Ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) (S, Ψ) is an $LC - space$.
- (b) $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $LC - space$.

Proposition 2.33 [13]:

If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is T_1 , then (S, Ψ) is an $LC - space$.

Corollary 2.34 [13]:

If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is disconnected, then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $LC - space$.

Theorem 2.35 [13]: Let (M, Γ) be a topological space.

Then (S, Ψ) is an $LC - space$ and disconnected if and only if $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is disconnected.

Proposition 2.36 [13]: If (S, Ψ) is T_1 , then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is T_1 .

Proposition 2.37 [13]:

(i) If (S, Ψ) is an $LC - space$, then $l(\Psi) \subseteq lc(\Psi)$.

(ii) If (S, Ψ) is a Lindelöf space, then $lc(\Psi) \subseteq l(\Psi)$.

Note that the reverse inclusions of (i) and (ii) are false in general [11].

Example 2.38: If (S, Ψ) is the discrete topological space and S is an uncountable set .So $\Psi = lc(\Psi)$ (

S is an $LC - space$ and Proposition 2.30). Since $\Psi \neq l(\Psi)$. Hence $lc(\Psi) \not\subseteq l(\Psi)$.

Example 2.39: If (R, Ω) is the usual topology , $N = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{j} : j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots \right\}$ and if $\Psi = \Omega_N$.

$\Theta(N, \Omega_N)$ is compact, it is Lindelöf. Therefore $\Omega_N = l(\Omega_N)$. Take $\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} \in \Omega_N$ and $B = \left\{ \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots \right\}$. Then

$B \subseteq L = N - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ is a Lindelöf subset of (L, Ω_L) , and not closed in (L, Ω_L) . Therefore L is not an

$LC - subspace$ of (N, Ω_N) . So $\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} \notin lc(\Omega_N)$. Hence $l(\Omega_N) \not\subseteq lc(\Omega_N)$.

Corollary 2.40 [2]: Every Hausdorff , locally Lindelöf $L_1 - space$ is an $LC - space$..

Theorem 2.41 [19]: For anti - Lindelöf space S the following are equivalent:

(a) S is an LC – space . (b) S is a cid – space .

Theorem2.42[21]: Every Hausdorff P – space is an $WALC$ – space.

3. CoLC Topologies

Corollary3.1: (i) If $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space then $(S,l(\psi))$ is a P – space .

(ii) If $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space then $(S,l(\psi))$ is a weak P – space .

Proof. Obvious by Theorem 2.32, Corollary. 2.15 and Corollary 2.16.

Theorem3.2: For a Q – set space (S,ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space . (b) $(S,l(\psi))$ is a P – space .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Obvious by Corollary 3.1(i). \Rightarrow : If $(S,l(\psi))$ is a P – space, so (S,ψ) is an L_1 – space by Theorem 2,17. Since (S,ψ) is a Q – set, therefore (S,ψ) is an LC – space (Corollary 2.18). Thus $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space (Theorem 2.32).

Theorem3.3: For a anti- Lindelöf T_1 space (S,ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S,ψ) is an LC – space .(b) $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space .(c) $(S,l(\psi))$ is a P – space .

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Obvious (Theorem 2.32).(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious (Corollary3.1(i)).

(c) \Rightarrow (b): If $(S,l(\psi))$ is a P – space, so (S,ψ) is an L_1 – space (Theorem 2.17). Since (S,ψ) is anti- Lindelöf T_1 space, therefore (S,ψ) is an LC – space (Theorem 2.19). Thus $(S,lc(\psi))$ is an LC – space (Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 3.4: For a locally Lindelöf Hausdorff space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC - space. (b) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P - space.

Proof. \Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.1(i)). \Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P - space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 - space (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a locally Lindelöf Hausdorff space, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC - space (Corollary 2.40). Thus $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC - space (Theorem 2.32).

Corollary 3.5: (i) If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is Hausdorff, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P - space.

(ii) If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is Hausdorff, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is a weak P - space.

Proof. Obvious .

Corollary 3.6: (i) If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is disconnected, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P - space.

(ii) If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is disconnected, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is a weak P - space.

Proof. Obvious .

Corollary 3.7:

(i) If (S, ψ) is an LC - space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 - space.

(ii) If (S, ψ) is a KC - space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 - space.

(iii) If (S, ψ) is locally LC - space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 - space.

(iv) If (S, ψ) is infinite cid - space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 - space.

Proof. Obvious by Theorem 2.36.

Corollary 3.8: If (S, ψ) is a Lindelöf LC - space, then $\psi = lc(\psi) = l(\psi)$.

Proof. Obvious by Proposition 2.30 and Corollary 2.20.

Corollary 3.9:

(i) If (S, ψ) is a LC Lindelöf space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a P - space.

(ii) If (S, ψ) is a LC Lindelöf space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a weak P - space.

(iii) If (S, ψ) is a LC Lindelöf space, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P - space.

(iv) If (S, Ψ) is a LC Lindelöf space, then $(S, l(\Psi))$ is a weak P – space .

Corollary3.10: If (S, Ψ) is anti- Lindelöf cid – space ,then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an LC – space.

Proof. Since (S, Ψ) is anti- Lindelöf cid – space ,so (S, Ψ) is an LC – space (Theorem 2.41), therefore $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an LC – space (Theorem 2.32).

Corollary3.11: If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff, then (S, Ψ) is a locally LC – space.

Proof. Since $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff , then (S, Ψ) is an LC – space (Proposition 2.33). Therefore (S, Ψ) is a locally LC .

Corollary3.12: (i) If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff, then (S, Ψ) is cid – space .

(ii) If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff, then (S, Ψ) is a KC – space.

Corollary3.13:

(i) If (S, Ψ) is a regular locally LC – space, then $l(\Psi) \subseteq lc(\Psi)$.

(ii) If (S, Ψ) is a regular almost Lindelöf, then $lc(\Psi) \subseteq l(\Psi)$.

Proof. (i) Obvious by Definition 2.01 and Proposition2.30.

(ii) Obvious by Theorem 2.21 and Corollary 2.20.

Theorem3.14: For a locally compact (S, Ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, Ψ) is an LC – space . (b) $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof. \Rightarrow : If (S, Ψ) is an LC – space ,so $\Psi = lc(\Psi)$ proposition 2.30. Since (S, Ψ) is a locally compact, then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff by Theorem 2.22.

\Leftarrow : Obvious by Proposition 2.33.

Theorem3.15: For a regular (S, Ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, Ψ) is an LC – space . (b) $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Let (S, ψ) be an LC -space, then $\psi = lc(\psi)$ Proposition 2.30. Since (S, ψ) is a regular, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

\Leftarrow : Obvious by Proposition 2.33.

Theorem 3.16: For a R_1 (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) (S, ψ) is an LC -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_2 .

Proof. \Leftarrow : If (S, ψ) is an LC -space, then $\psi = lc(\psi)$ Proposition 2.30. Since (S, ψ) is a R_1 , then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_2 by Corollary 2.23(i).

\Rightarrow : Obvious.

Corollary 3.17: If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is Hausdorff, then (S, ψ) is a Hausdorff.

Proof. Since $(S, lc(\psi))$ is Hausdorff, then (S, ψ) is an LC -space by Proposition 2.33, so $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Hence (S, ψ) is a Hausdorff.

Corollary 3.18: If (S, ψ) is Hausdorff P -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff P -space.

Proof. Since (S, ψ) is Hausdorff P -space, hence (S, ψ) is an LC -space by Theorem 2.24, so $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Therefore $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff P -space.

4. CoLC and L_i -Spaces

Corollary 4.1: Let $(S, lc(\psi))$ be an LC -space, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is an L_1 .

Proof. Obvious (Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 2.14 (iii)).

Theorem 4.2: For a L_3 -space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC .

Proof. \Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.1). \Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is an L_3 , therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.14(ii)). Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC (Theorem 2.32).

Theorem 4.3: For a L_2 Hausdorff space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC .

Proof. \Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.1).

\Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 -space (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a L_2 Hausdorff space, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.25). Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC (Theorem 2.32).

Theorem 4.4: For a Lindelöf Hausdorff space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC -space. (b) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space.
 (c) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a weak P -space. (d) (S, ψ) is an L_1 -space.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Obvious (Corollary 3.1).

(b) \Rightarrow (a): If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a Lindelöf Hausdorff space, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.26). Hence $(S, l(\psi))$ is an LC (Theorem 2.32).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (Corollary 2.16). (c) \Rightarrow (b): If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a weak P -space. Since (S, ψ) is a Hausdorff Lindelöf and $\psi = l(\psi)$, then (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.27 and Theorem 2.14 (i)). Hence $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space (Theorem 2.17).

(c) \Rightarrow (d): If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a weak P -space, since (S, ψ) is a Lindelöf, then $\psi = l(\psi)$, so $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space (Theorem 2.27). Hence $(S, l(\psi))$ is an L_1 (Theorem 2.14 (viii)).

(d) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (Theorem 3.2.2 and Corollary 3.1.26).

Corollary 4.5: If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is Hausdorff, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is an L_1 -space.

Proof. Obvious (Proposition 2.33, Corollary 2.15 and Theorem 2.14 (iii)).

Corollary 4.6: If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is disconnected, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is an L_1 -space.

Proof. Obvious (Theorem 2.35, Corollary 2.15 and Theorem 2.14 (iii)).

Corollary 4.7: (i) If (S, ψ) is an L_3 -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 -space.

(ii) If (S, ψ) is a Q -set space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 -space

Proof. Obvious (Proposition 2.36).

Theorem 4.8: For a locally compact L_3 -space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof. \Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.5(i)).

\Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 -space (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is an L_3 -space, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC -space (Theorem 2.14(ii)), so $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a locally compact space, hence $(S, \psi) = (S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff (Theorem 2.22).

Theorem 4.9: For a regular L_3 -space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.5(i)). \Leftarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is an L_3 , therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.14(ii)), so $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a regular, Thus $(S, \psi) = (S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff by Corollary 2.23(ii).

Theorem 4.10: For a $R_1 L_3$ -space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff

Proof. \Leftarrow : Obvious by Corollary 3.5(i).

\Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is an L_3 , therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (theorem 2.14(ii)), so $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a R_1 -space, hence $(S, \psi) = (S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff by Corollary 2.23(i).

Corollary 4.11: Let (S, ψ) be a Q -set space.

If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 .

Proof. Since $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 -space by Theorem 2.17. Since (S, ψ) is a Q -set, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Corollary 2.18), then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 (Proposition 2.36).

Corollary 4.12: Let (S, ψ) be a L_3 -space topological space.

If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 .

Proof. Since $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is an L_3 , therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.14(ii)), thus $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 (Proposition 2.36).

Corollary 4.13: (i) If (S, ψ) is a Lindelöf LC -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an L_4 -space.

(ii) If (S, ψ) is a Lindelöf LC -space, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is an L_4 -space.

Corollary 4.14: If $(S, lc(\psi))$ has no Lindelöf-dense subset, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 .

Proof. Since $(S, lc(\psi))$ has dense - no Lindelöf subset, therefore $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an L_3 (Corollary 2.31 and Theorem 2.14(i)), hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is T_1 (Theorem 2.14(v)).

Theorem 4.15: For a locally compact Q -set space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof: \Rightarrow : If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a Q -set, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Corollary 2.18) and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a locally compact. Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff by Theorem 2.22.

\Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.5(i)).

Theorem 4.16: For a R_1 Q -set space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff

Proof. \Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a Q -set, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Corollary 2.18) and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a R . Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff (Corollary 2.23(i)).

\Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 3.5(i)).

Theorem 4.17: For a regular Q -set space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff.

Proof. \Rightarrow : If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space, so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a Q -set, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Corollary 2.18) and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a regular. Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff (Corollary 2.23(i)). \Leftarrow : Obvious (Corollary 8.1.20(i)).

5. CoLC and WALC

Corollary 5.1: (i) If (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ -space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a T_1 -space.

(ii) If (S, Ψ) is an ALC - space , then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a T_1 - space.

Proof. Obvious) Definition 2.9 and Proposition 2.36(.

Theorem 5.2: Let (S, Ψ) be a regular topological space. If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ has no Lindelöf -dense subset, then (S, Ψ) and $(S, lc(\Psi))$ are $WALC$ - spaces.

Proof. Since $(S, lc(\Psi))$ has dense -no Lindelöf subset, so (S, Ψ) is an LC) Corollary 2.31 and Theorem 2.32(. Since (S, Ψ) is a regular, therefore (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$) Theorem 2.28(, Since $\Psi = lc(\Psi)$ then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $WALC$.

Corollary 5.3: Let (S, Ψ) be a regular topological space. If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff, then (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ - space .

Proof. Since $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is a Hausdorff, so (S, Ψ) is an LC) Proposition 2.33(. Since (S, Ψ) is a regular, then (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ - space) Theorem 2.28(.

Theorem 5.4:

If (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ - space , then $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $WALC$ - space and an LC - space .

Proof. Since (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$, so (S, Ψ) is an LC , $\Psi = lc(\Psi)$. Hence $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $WALC$ and an LC .

Theorem 5.5: If $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is T_3 - space, then (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ - space .

Proof. Let $w, z \in S$ and $w \neq z$. Since $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is Hausdorff, $\exists O, V \in lc(\Psi) \ni w \in O, z \in V$ and $O \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus $S = (S - O) \cup (S - V)$ and since $S - O$, $S - V$ are two closed, LC - subspaces of (S, Ψ) . Therefore $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an LC (Theorem 2.29). Hence $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an $WALC$ (Theorem 2.28).

Theorem 5.6: For a regular space (S, Ψ) the following are equivalent:

- (a) (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ - space . (b) $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an LC - space .

Proof. \Rightarrow : Obvious (Theorem 5.4). \Leftarrow : If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC ,

so (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.32). Since (S, ψ) is a regular, hence (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ (Theorem 2.28).

Theorem 5.7: For a regular space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ - space. (b) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an $WALC$ - space

Proof. \Rightarrow : Obvious (Theorem 5.4). \Leftarrow : If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC , so (S, ψ) is an LC (Theorem 2.32).

Since (S, ψ) is a regular, hence (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ (Theorem 2.28).

Corollary 5.8: (i) If (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ - space, then $l(\psi) \subseteq lc(\psi)$.

(ii) If (S, ψ) is an ALC - space, then $l(\psi) \subseteq lc(\psi)$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) Obvious (Definition 2.9 and Proposition 2.37(i)).

Theorem 5.9: Let (S, ψ) be a regular space. Then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an $WALC$ - space if it can be written as the union of two closed sets which are not equal to S .

Proof.

Let $S = K_1 \cup K_2$ and let $K_1 \neq S, K_2 \neq S$ be closed in $(S, lc(\psi))$. Then K_1 and K_2 are LC - subspace of (S, ψ) by Definition CoLC. Therefore (S, ψ) is an LC - space (Theorem 2.29), since K_1 and K_2 are closed in (S, ψ) . So $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an LC - space (Theorem 2.32) and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a regular space, then $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an $WALC$ - space (Theorem 2.28).

Corollary 5.10: Let (S, ψ) be a regular topological space. If $(S, lc(\psi))$ is disconnected, then $(S, l(\psi))$ is an $WALC$ - space.

Proof. Obvious (Theorem 5.9).

Theorem 5.11: For a regular Q - set space (S, ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, ψ) is an LC - space. (b) (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ - space.

(c) $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space. (d) $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff and an LC -space.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Obvious (Theorem 2.28).

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Obvious (Definition2.9).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let (S, ψ) be an $WALC$ -space, so (S, ψ) is an LC -space (Theorem 2.28). Therefore $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space (Theorem 2.15).

(c) \Rightarrow (b): If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space ,so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem 2.17). Since

(S, ψ) is a Q -set, therefore (S, ψ) is an LC (Corollary 2.18)and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a regular. Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is an $WALC$ (Theorem 2.28).

(c) \Rightarrow (d): If $(S, l(\psi))$ is a P -space ,so (S, ψ) is an L_1 (Theorem2.17). Since (S, ψ) is a Q -set , therefore (S, ψ) is an LC -space (Corollary 2.18) and $\psi = lc(\psi)$. Since (S, ψ) is a regular . Hence $(S, lc(\psi))$ is a Hausdorff (Corollary 2.23(ii)).

(d) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (Corollary 3.5).

Theorem5.12: For a regular P -space S the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, ψ) is T_1 -space.(b) (S, ψ) is a Hausdorff space.(c) (S, ψ) is an $WALC$ -space

(d) (S, ψ) is an LC -space.(e) (S, ψ) is a Locally LC -space.(f) (S, ψ) is a KC -space.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): If S is a T_1 , since S is a regular, hence S is a Hausdorff.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Obvious. (b) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (Theorem 2.42).

(c) \Rightarrow (b): If S is an $WALC$, so S is an T_1 , since S is a regular, therefore S is a Hausdorff.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Obvious (Definition2.9).

(d) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (Theorem2.28). (d) \Leftrightarrow (e): Obvious (Definition 2.10).

(e) \Rightarrow (f): Let S be a Locally LC , since S is a regular, so S is an LC (Definition 2.10), therefore S is a KC .

(f) \Rightarrow (e): Let S be a KC , then S is an T_1 , since S is a regular, therefore S is a Hausdorff, so S is an LC (Theorem 2.24), therefore S is a Locally LC .

Corollary5.13: For a regular P – space (S, Ψ) the following are equivalent:

(a) (S, Ψ) is T – space. (b) (S, Ψ) is a Hausdorff space. (c) (S, Ψ) is an $WALC$ – space

(d) $(S, lc(\Psi))$ is an LC – space and T – space. (e) (S, Ψ) is an LC – space

(f) (S, Ψ) is a Locally LC – space. (g) (S, Ψ) is a KC – space.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bankston P., The total negation of a topological property, Illinois J. Math. 23 (1979), 241-255.
- [2] Dontchev J., Ganster M. and Kanibir A., On Some Generalization of LC - spaces, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae Vol. LXVIII, 2(1999), pp.345-353.
- [3] Dontchev J., Ganster M., On the product of LC – spaces, Q & A in General Topology 15 (1997), 71–74.

- [4] Engelking R., General Topology. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, revised and completed edition, 1989.
- [5] F. Cammaroto, G. Santoro: Some counter examples and properties on generalizations of Lindelöf spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 19 (1996), 737–746 .
- [6] Ganster M. and Jankovic D., On spaces whose Lindelöf subsets are closed, Q & A in General Topology 7 (1989), 141–148.
- [7] Ganster M., Kanibir A., Reilly I., Two Comments Concerning Certain Topological Spaces, Indian J., Pure Appl. Math. 29(9)965- 967, September 1998.
- [8] Gauld D. B., Mrsevic M., Reilly I. and Vamanamurthy M. K., Co-Lindelöf topologies and I-continuous functions, Glasnik Mat. 19(39) (1984), 297-308.
- [9] Hdeib H. Z., A note on L-closed spaces, Q & A in General Topology 6(1988), 67-72.
- [10] Hdeib H. Z. and Pareek C. M., On spaces in which Lindelöf sets are closed, Q & A in General Topology 4 (1986), 3-13.
- [11] Hdeib H. Z. and Sarsak M. S., Weakly ALC Spaces, International Mathematical Forum, 3, 2008, no. 41, 2017 – 2024.
- [12] Henrikson M. and Woods R. G., Weak P – spaces and l – closed spaces, Q & A in General Topology 6 (1988), 201-207.
- [13] Kanibir A. and Girginok P., On CoLC Topologies. Mathematica Bohemica. 59(2007), 23–30.
- [14] Levy R., A non-P L-closed space, Q & A in General Topology 4 (1986), 145-146.
- [15] Misra A. K., A topological view of P – spaces, Topology & Appl. 2(1972), 349-362.
- [16] Mukherji T. K. and Sarkar M., On a class of almost discrete spaces, Mat. Vesnik 3(16)(31)(1979), 459–474.
- [17] Petra Staynova, A Comparison of Lindelöf –type Covering Properties of Topological Spaces, Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal, V. 12, no. 2, Fall 2011.
- [18] Reyadh D. Ali and Adam A. Abker., ON Locally Lindelöf Spaces, Co-Lindelöf Topologies and Locally LC-Space, Journal of AL-Qadisiyah for computer science and mathematics Vol.(7) No(1) 2015.
- [19] Reyadh D. Ali, ON WEAKER FORMS OF LC-SPACES, Journal of Iraqi Al-Khwarizmi Society (JIKhS) Vol.(1) No(1) 2017.
- [20] Reyadh D. Ali, Weakly ALC-Spaces (WALC-Spaces), AL-Qadisiyah Journal of pure Science Vol.(23) No(1) 2018.
- [21] Reyadh D. Ali, ON WEAKER FORMS OF WALC-Spaces, Science International Vol.(31) No(2) 2019, 255-263.

[22] Sarsak M. S., On relatively almost Lindelöf subsets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 97(1-2) (2002), 109-114.

[23] S. Willard, U.N. B. Dissanayake: The almost Lindelöf degree. *Can. Math. Bull.* 27 (1984), 452–455.

[24] Wilansky A., Between T_1 and T_2 , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74 (1967), 261- 266.



© 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of attribution -NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).