## Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science

Volume 27 | Number 1

Article 11

4-7-2022

# Blow Up Of Solutions For Viscoelastic Wave Equations Of Kirchhoff Type With Variable Exponents

Erhan Pişkin Dicle University, Department of Mathematics, Diyarbakır,21280, Turkey,, episkin@dicle.edu.tr

Veysel Butakın Dicle University, Department of Mathematics, Diyarbakır,21280, Turkey, vbutakin@dicle.edu.tr

Follow this and additional works at: https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home

Part of the Mathematics Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

Pişkin, Erhan and Butakın, Veysel (2022) "Blow Up Of Solutions For Viscoelastic Wave Equations Of Kirchhoff Type With Variable Exponents," *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*: Vol. 27: No. 1, Article 11. DOI: 10.29350/qjps.2022.27.2.1480

Available at: https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home/vol27/iss1/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science by an authorized editor of Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. For more information, please contact bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq.



## Blow up of Solutions for Viscoelastic Wave Equations of Kirchhoff Type

## with Variable Exponents

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
a. Erhan Pişkin b. Veysel Butakın	In this paper, we consider a viscoelastic wave equation of Kirchhoff type with variable exponents. We show that under suitable conditions on the initial data
Article History	and initial energy, the energy of solutions blow up in finite time with negative
Received on: 29/01/2022 Revised on: 21/03/2022 Accepted on: 10/04/2022	initial energy.
<i>Keywords:</i> Blow up, Kirchhoff Type equation, Viscoelastic wave equation, Variable exponent.	
<b>DOI:</b> https://doi.org/10.29350/ jops. 2022.27. 1.1480	

## 1.Introduction

In this paper, we study the following viscoelastic wave equation of Kirchhoff type:

$$u_{tt} - M(\|\nabla u\|^2 \Delta u) + \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + |u_t|^{p(x)-2} u_t = |u|^{q(x)-2} u_t(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T),$$
(1)

with the initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \ x \in \Omega,$$
 (2)

and boundary condition

$$u(x,t) = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \tag{3}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \ge 1)$  with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ , and  $M(s) = \alpha + \beta s^{\gamma}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, \gamma \ge 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ .

The variable exponents p(.) and q(.) are given as measurable functions on  $\Omega$  satisfying

$$2 \le p^{-} \le p(x) \le p^{+} \le q^{-} \le q(x) \le q^{+} \le q^{*}$$
(4)

<sup>a</sup>Dicle University, Department of Mathematics, Diyarbakır, 21280, Turkey, E-Mail:episkin@dicle.edu.tr

<sup>b</sup>Dicle University, Department of Mathematics, Diyarbakır, 21280, Turkey, E-Mail: vbutakin@dicle.edu.tr

here

$$p^{-} = essinf_{x \in \Omega}p(x), \ p^{+} = esssup_{x \in \Omega}p(x),$$
$$q^{-} = essinf_{x \in \Omega}q(x), \ q^{+} = esssup_{x \in \Omega}q(x),$$

and

$$q^* = \begin{cases} \infty, n = 1, 2, \\ \frac{2n}{n-2}, n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

We state some assumptions on *g*:

(A1) Let  $g \in C^1$  function satisfying

$$1 - \int_0^\infty g(\tau) d\tau = l > 0$$

(A2)  $g(\tau)d\tau \ge 0$ ,  $g'(\tau)d\tau \le 0$  and

$$\int_0^\infty g(\tau)d\tau < \frac{q^-(1-\xi)-2}{q^-(1-\xi)-2+\frac{1}{4q^-(1-\xi)}}, \qquad 0<\xi<1.$$

The problems with variable exponents arises in many branches in sciences such as nonlinear elasticity theory, image processing, electrorheological fluids [2, 3, 15].

When p(x) and q(x) are constants, (1) become the following form

$$u_{tt} - M(\|\nabla u\|^2)\Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-\tau)\Delta u(\tau)d\tau + |u_t|^{p-2}u_t = |u|^{q-2}u.$$
(5)

The problem (5) has been discussed by many authors and several results concerning blow up have been established for p = 2. See in this case, [6, 8].

When  $M(\|\nabla u\|^2) \equiv 1$ , (5) become the following form

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + |u_t|^{p-2} u_t = |u|^{q-2} u.$$
(6)

In [9], the author proved blow up and global existence of solutions, for the equation (6). In [10], the same author extended this result in the case of positive initial energy.

When  $M(\|\nabla u\|^2) \equiv 1$  and  $g \equiv 0$  the problem (1) reduces to the following form

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u_t|^{p(x)-2} u_t = |u|^{q(x)-2} u.$$
(7)

Messaoudi et al. [11] studied the local existence and blow up of the solutions of the equation (7). Recently, Pişkin [12] investigated (1) and established the blow up result with negative initial energy for  $g \equiv 0$ . In [13] the same author investigated (1) and proved the blow up result for  $M(||\nabla u||^2) \equiv 1$ .

Motivated by the above results, in this work, we prove the blow up result of solutions (1) under some conditions. To the best our knowledge, this is the first paper that deals with blow up of solutions to problems involving a viscoelastic wave equations of Kirchhoff type with variable exponents. The outline of this work is as follows. In part 2, we recall the definitions of the the variable exponent  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  Lebesgue space and  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  Sobolev space. In part 3, we state and prove the blow up results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this part, we state some results about the variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  (see [3, 4, 7, 14]).

Let  $p: \Omega \to [1, \infty)$  be a measurable function, where  $\Omega$  is a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u: \Omega \to R, u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0: \int_{\Omega} \left|\frac{u}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} dx \le 1\right\},$$

where  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  is a Banach space.

The variable exponent Sobolev space  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : \nabla u \text{ exists and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \}.$$

Variable exponent Sobolev space is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{1,p(x)} = ||u||_{p(x)} + ||\nabla u||_{p(x)}.$$

The space  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  is defined as the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{1,p(x)}$ . For  $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , we can define an equivalent norm

$$|u||_{1,p(x)} = ||\nabla u||_{p(x)}$$

Let the variable exponent p(.) satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition:

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \le \frac{A}{\log\left|\frac{1}{x-y}\right|}, \text{ for all } x, y \in \Omega \text{ with } |x-y| < \delta, \tag{8}$$

where A > 0 and  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

**Lemma 2.1:** [3] (*Poincare inequality*) Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and p(.) satisfies log-Hölder condition, then

 $||u||_{p(.)} \le c ||\nabla u||_{p(.)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p(.)}(\Omega),$ 

where  $c = c(p^{-}, p^{+}, |\Omega|) > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2:** [3] (*Embedding*) Let  $p(.) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $q: \Omega \to [1, \infty)$  be a measurable and satisfy

$$ess_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}inf(p^*(x)-q(x)) > 0.$$

Then the Sobolev embedding  $W_0^{1,p(.)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$  is continuous and compact. Where

$$p^{*}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{np(x)}{ess_{x \in \overline{\Omega}}sup(n-p(x))}, & \text{if } p^{-} < n, \\ \infty, & \text{if } p^{-} \ge n. \end{cases}$$

The local existence and uniqueness of solutions for the problem (1) which can be established by combining arguments of [1, 5, 11].

**Theorem 2.3:** (*Local existence and uniqueness*). Suppose that (A1), (A2), (4) and (8) holds. Then for any initial data  $(u_0, u_1) \in (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , problem (1) has a solution

$$u \in C\left([0,T); H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)\right), \ u_t \in C\left([0,T); L^2(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p(.)}\left(\Omega \times (0,T)\right),$$

for some T > 0.

### 3. Blow up

In this part, we will consider the blow up of the solution for problem (1). Firstly, we give following lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1:** [11] If  $q: \Omega \to [1, \infty)$  is a measurable function and

$$2 \le q^{-} \le q(x) \le q^{+} < \frac{2n}{n-2}; n \ge 3$$
(9)

holds. Then, we have following inequalities:

i)

$$\rho_{q(.)}^{\frac{s}{q^{-}}}(u) \le c \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right), \tag{10}$$

ii)

$$\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{s} \le c \big(\|\nabla u\|^{2} + \|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}\big), \tag{11}$$

iii)

$$\rho_{q(.)}^{\frac{s}{q^{-}}}(u) \le c \left( |H(t)| + ||u_t||^2 + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right),$$
(12)

iv)

$$\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{s} \le c \left( |H(t)| + \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q} \right), \tag{13}$$

v)

$$c \|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}} \le \rho_{q(.)}(u) \tag{14}$$

for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $2 \le s \le q^-$ . Where  $\rho_{q(.)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)} dx$ , and c > 1 a positive constant and

$$\begin{split} H(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \, \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \int_\Omega \, \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.2: Assume that (A1), (A2), (4) and (8) hold. Then

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx$$
(15)

is a nonincreasing function and

$$E'(t) = -\int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{2}g(\tau) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx d\tau$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g'(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx d\tau.$$

**Proof.** We multiply (1) by  $u_t$ , and integrate over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} \right] \\ - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) \nabla u_t(t) dx d\tau = -\int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p(x)} dx.$$
(16)

We estimate the last term in the left-hand side as follows

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^t \int_\Omega g(t-\tau) \,\nabla u(\tau) \nabla u_t(t) dx d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_\Omega \nabla u_t(t) [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t) + \nabla u(t)] dx d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_\Omega \nabla u_t(t) [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)] dx d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_\Omega \nabla u_t(t) \nabla u(t) dx d\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \frac{d}{dt} \Big[ \int_\Omega [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx \Big] d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(\tau) \Big[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx d\tau \Big] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \Big[ \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_\Omega [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx d\tau \Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g'(t-\tau) \int_\Omega [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx d\tau \Big] \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int_0^t g(\tau)\int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla u(t)\right]^2 dx d\tau\right] - \frac{1}{2}g(\tau)\int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla u(t)\right]^2 dx.$$
 (17)

Inserting (17) into (16), we obtain

-

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \|\nabla u\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx + \frac{1}{2(\gamma + 1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma + 1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} |u_{t}|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{2} g(\tau) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^{2} dx d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g'(t - \tau) \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^{2} dx d\tau \leq 0, \qquad (18)$$

where

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)]^2 dx d\tau.$$

Now, we state and prove the blow up result:

**Theorem 3.3:** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 hold. If E(0) < 0, then the solution (1) blows up in finite time  $T^*$ , and

$$T^* \leq \frac{1-\sigma}{\xi \sigma \Psi^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)}.$$

Proof. We set

$$H(t) = -E(t).$$

By (18), we see that  $H(t) \ge 0$ . By the definition H(t), we obtain

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} - \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx \le \frac{1}{q^-} \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u).$$
(19)

Let

$$\Psi(t) = H^{1-\sigma}(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_t \, dx, \tag{20}$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small to be chosen later and

$$0 < \sigma \le \min\left\{\frac{q^{-}-p^{+}}{(p^{+}-1)q^{-}}, \frac{q^{-}-2}{2q^{-}}\right\}.$$
(21)

By differentiating (20) and then using (1), we get

$$\begin{split} \Psi'(t) &= (1-\sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + uu_{tt})dx \\ &= (1-\sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon ||u_t||^2 - \varepsilon ||\nabla u||^2 \\ &-\varepsilon ||\nabla u||^{2(\gamma+1)} + \varepsilon \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)\nabla u(\tau)|dxd\tau \\ &+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)}dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u_t||u_t|^{p(.)-2}dx \end{split}$$

$$= (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon ||u_t||^2 - \varepsilon ||\nabla u||^2 - \varepsilon ||\nabla u||^{2(\gamma+1)} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)} dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t |u_t|^{p(.)-2} dx + \varepsilon \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau ||\nabla u||^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)] dx d\tau.$$
(22)

To estimate the last term of (22), we use Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) [\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)] dx d\tau$$
  

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \|\nabla u(t)\| \|\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)\| d\tau$$
  

$$\leq \lambda (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d\tau \|\nabla u\|^{2}, \ \lambda > 0.$$
(23)

Substituting (23) into (22), we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi'(t) &\geq (1-\sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)} dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t |u_t|^{p(.)-2} dx + \varepsilon \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \|\nabla u\|^2 \\ &- \varepsilon \lambda (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4\lambda} \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \|\nabla u\|^2. \end{split}$$

By using the definition of the H(t), it follows that

$$-\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)H(t) = \frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \|\nabla u\|^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + \frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) -\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(.)} dx,$$
(24)

where  $0 < \xi < 1$ .

Adding and subtracting (24) on the right hand side of (22), we have

$$\Psi'(t) \geq (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon q^{-}(1 - \xi)H(t) + \varepsilon \left(\frac{q^{-}(1 - \xi)}{2} + 1\right) \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{q^{-}(1 - \xi)}{2(\gamma + 1)} - 1\right) \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma + 1)} + \varepsilon \left[\frac{q^{-}(1 - \xi)}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d\tau\right) - 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\lambda}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d\tau\right] \|\nabla u\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{q^{-}(1 - \xi)}{2} - \lambda\right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \varepsilon \xi \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)} dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_{t} |u_{t}|^{p(.) - 2} dx.$$
(25)

Then, for  $\xi$  small enough, we obtain

$$\Psi'(t) \ge \varepsilon \beta \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big]$$
  
+  $(1 - \sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_t |u_t|^{p(.)-2} dx,$  (26)

here

$$\beta = \min \left\{ \begin{aligned} q^{-}(1-\xi), \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} + 1, \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} - \lambda, \xi, \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2(\gamma+1)} - 1, \\ \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) \, d\tau\right) - 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\lambda}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) \, d\tau \end{aligned} \right\} > 0$$

and

$$\rho_{q(.)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(.)} dx$$

By the following Young's inequality, we have

$$XY \le \frac{\delta^k X^k}{k} + \frac{\delta^{-l} Y^l}{l},$$

where *X*, *Y*  $\geq$  0,  $\delta > 0$ , *k*, *l*  $\in R^+$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l} = 1$ . Consequently, applying the previous, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u |u_{t}|^{p(.)-1} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \delta^{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} |u_{t}|^{p(x)} dx$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} |u_{t}|^{p(x)} dx, \tag{27}$$

where  $\delta$  is constant depending on the time t and specified later. Inserting (27) into (26), we have

$$\Psi'(t) \geq \varepsilon \beta \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big] \\ + (1 - \sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) \\ - \varepsilon \frac{1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \varepsilon \frac{p^{+} - 1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}} |u_t|^{p(x)} dx.$$
(28)

Let us choose  $\delta$ , so that  $\delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} = k_1 H^{-\sigma}(t)$ , where  $k_1 > 0$  is specified later, we get

$$\begin{split} \Psi'(t) &\geq \varepsilon \beta \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big] \\ &+ (1 - \sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) \\ -\varepsilon \frac{1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega} k_1^{1-p(x)} H^{\sigma(p(x)-1)}(t) \, |u|^{p(x)} dx - \varepsilon \frac{p^+ - 1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} k H^{-\sigma}(t) |u_t|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon \beta \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big] \\ &+ (1 - \sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) \\ -\varepsilon \frac{k_1^{1-p^-}}{p^-} H^{\sigma(p^+-1)}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p^+ - 1}{p^+}\right) k H^{-\sigma}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon \beta \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big] \\ &\left[ (1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p^+ - 1}{p^+}\right) k \right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) - \varepsilon \frac{k_1^{1-p^-}}{p^-} H^{\sigma(p^+-1)}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

Using (14) and (19), we obtain

$$H^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \leq H^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)}(t) \left[ \int_{\Omega_{-}} |u|^{p^{-}} dx + \int_{\Omega_{+}} |u|^{p^{+}} dx \right]$$

$$\leq H^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)}(t) c \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega_{-}} |u|^{q^{-}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}}} + \left( \int_{\Omega_{+}} |u|^{q^{-}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}}} \right]$$

$$= H^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)}(t) c \left[ ||u||_{q^{-}}^{p^{-}} + ||u||_{q^{-}}^{p^{+}} \right]$$

$$\leq c \left( \frac{1}{q^{-}} \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right)^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)} \left[ \left( \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}}} + \left( \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}}} \right]$$

$$= c_{1} \left[ \left( \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}} + \sigma(p^{+}-1)} + \left( \rho_{q(.)}(u) \right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}} + \sigma(p^{+}-1)} \right]$$
(29)

where  $c_1 = c \left(\frac{1}{q^-}\right)^{\sigma(p^+-1)}$ ,  $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega: |u| < 1\}$  and  $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega: |u| \ge 1\}$ .

By Lemma 3.1 and (21), for

$$s = p^{-} + \sigma q^{-}(p^{+} - 1) \le q^{-}$$

or

$$s = p^+ + \sigma q^- (p^+ - 1) \le q^-,$$

to deduce, from (29),

$$H^{\sigma(p^{+}-1)}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \le c_1 [\|\nabla u\|^2 + \rho_{q(.)}(u)].$$
(30)

Thus, inserting estimate (30) into (26), we obtain

$$\Psi'(t) \ge \varepsilon \left(\beta - \frac{k^{1-p^{-}}}{p^{-}}c_{1}\right) \left[H(t) + \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u)\right] \\ + \left[(1-\sigma) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right)k\right] H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t).$$
(31)

Let us choose k large enough so that  $\gamma = \beta - \frac{k^{1-p^-}}{p^-}c_1 > 0$ , and picking  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$(1-\sigma) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) k \ge 0$$

and

$$\Psi(t) \ge \Psi(0) = H^{1-\sigma}(0) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx > 0, \forall t \ge 0.$$
(32)

Consequently, (31) yields

$$\Psi'(t) \ge \varepsilon \gamma \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \rho_{q(.)}(u) \Big]$$
  
$$\ge \varepsilon \gamma \Big[ H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|u\|_q^q^- \Big], \tag{33}$$

due to (14). Therefore we get

 $\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(0) > 0, for all t \geq 0.$ 

On the other hand, applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \le \|u\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \|u_t\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \le C \left( \|u\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}_{q^-} \|u_t\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \right).$$

Using Young's inequality gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| u u_t dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \le C \left( \| u \|_{q^-}^{\frac{\mu}{1-\sigma}} + \| u_t \|_{1-\sigma}^{\frac{\theta}{1-\sigma}} \right), \tag{34}$$

for  $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\theta} = 1$ . We take  $\theta = 2(1 - \sigma)$ , to obtain  $\frac{\mu}{1 - \sigma} = \frac{2}{1 - 2\sigma} \le q^-$  by (21). Therefore, (34) becomes

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} uu_t dx\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C\left(||u_t||^2 + ||u||_{q^-}^q\right).$$

Thus,

$$\Psi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t) = \left[ H^{1-\sigma}(t) + \varepsilon \int uu_t \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$$
$$\leq 2^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( H(t) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left| \int_{\Omega} uu_t \, dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\|u_t\|^2 + \|u\|_{q^-}^q + H(t)\right)$$
  
$$\leq C\left(H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|u\|_{q^-}^q\right) \quad (35)$$

where

 $(a+b)^p \le 2^{p-1}(a^p+b^p)$ 

is used. By combining of (33) and (35), we have

$$\Psi'(t) \ge \xi \Psi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t), \tag{36}$$

where  $\xi$  is a positive constant.

A simple integration of (36) over (0, t) yields  $\Psi^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(t) \ge \frac{1}{\Psi^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0) - \frac{\xi\sigma t}{1-\sigma}}$  which implies that the solution

blows up in a finite time  $T^*$ , with

$$T^* \leq \frac{1-\sigma}{\xi \sigma \Psi^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

### Conclusion

In this work, we considered a viscoelastic wave equations of Kirchhoff type with variable exponents. Under the suitable conditions, we showed the blow up of solutions in a finite time with negative initial energy.

### References

[1] S.N. Antontsev, J. Ferreira, E. Pişkin, S.M.S. Cordeiro, Existence and non-existence of solutions for Timoshenkotype equations with variable exponents, Nonlinear Anal.: Real Worl Appl., 61 (2021) 1-13.

[2] Y. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao, Variable Exponent, Linear Growth Functionals in Image Restoration, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 66 (2006) 1383-1406.

[3] L. Diening, P. Hasto, P. Harjulehto, M.M. Ruzicka, Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[4] X.L. Fan, J.S. Shen, D. Zhao, Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 263 (2011) 749-760.

[5] Y. Gao, W. Gao, Existence of weak solutions for viscoelastic hyperbolic equations with variable exponents, Boundary Value Problems, (2013) 1-8.

[6] L. Jie and L. Fei, Blow up of solution for an integro-differential equation with arbitrary positive initial energy, Boundary Value Problems, 96 (2015) 1-10.

[7] O. Kovacik, J. Rakosnik, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ , and  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 41 (1991) 592-618.

[8] G. Li, L. Hong, W. Liu, Global nonexistence of solutions for viscoelastic wave equations of Kirchhoff type with high energy, Journal of Functional Spaces and Applications, (2012) 1-15.

[9] S.A. Messaoudi, Blow up and global existence in a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation, Math. Nachr., 260 (2003) 58-66.

[10] S.A. Messaoudi, Blow-up of positive-initial-energy solutions of a nonlinear viscoelastic hyperbolic equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 320 (2006) 902-915.

[11] S.A. Messaoudi, A.A. Talahmeh, J.H. Al-Shail, Nonlinear damped wave equation: Existence and blow-up, Comp. Math. Appl., 74 (2017) 3024-3041.

[12] E. Pişkin, Finite time blow up of solutions of the Kirchhoff-type equation with variable exponents, Int. J.

Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 11(1) (2020) 37-45.

[13] E. Pişkin, Blow up of solutions for a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with variable exponents, Middle East Journal of Science, 5(2) (2019) 134-145.

[14] E. Pişkin and B. Okutmuştur, An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces, Bentham Science, 2021.

[15] M. Ruzicka, Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 2000.



© 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open accessarticle distributed under the terms and conditions of attribution -NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).