

8-15-2021

strongly N-extending Strongly N-extending Modules

Saad Abdulkadhim Al-Saad

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Iraq,
saalsaadi@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Darya Jaber Abdul-kareem

Ministry of Education -Diyala, Iraq, darya_jabbar@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home>

Recommended Citation

Al-Saad, Saad Abdulkadhim and Abdul-kareem, Darya Jaber (2021) "strongly N-extending Strongly N-extending Modules," *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*: Vol. 26: No. 4, Article 33.

DOI: 10.29350/qjps.2021.26.4.1350

Available at: <https://qjps.researchcommons.org/home/vol26/iss4/33>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science by an authorized editor of Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science. For more information, please contact bassam.alfarhani@qu.edu.iq.



Strongly N-extending modules

<i>Authors Names</i>	ABSTRACT
<p>a. Saad Abdulkadhim Al-Saad b. Darya Jabar Abdul-Kareem</p> <p>Article History Received on: 12/6/2021 Revised on: 30/7/2021 Accepted on: 8/8/2021</p> <p>DOI: https://doi.org/10.29350/jops.2021.26.4.1350</p>	<p>Relative extending modules and relative (quasi-)continuous modules were introduced and studied by Oshiro as a generalizations of extending modules and (quasi-) continuous respectively. On other hand, Oshiro, Rizvi and Permuth introduced N-extending and N-(quasi-) continuous modules depending $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(N, M) = \{A \subseteq M \exists X \subseteq N, \exists f \text{Hom}(X, M) \text{ such that } f(X) \text{ is essential in } A\}$ where N and M are modules. $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is closed under submodules, essential extension and isomorphic image. A module M is N-extending if for each submodule $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, there is a direct summand B of M such that A is essential in B. Moreover, a module M is strongly extending if every submodule is essential in a stable (equivalently, fully invariant) direct summand of M.</p> <p>In this paper, we introduce and study classes of modules which are proper stronger than that of N-extending modules and N-(quasi-)continuous modules. Many characterizations and properties of these classes are given.</p>

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring with identity and M be a left unitary R -module. A nonzero submodule N of M is essential if every non-zero submodule of M has non-zero intersection with N . Also, a submodule N of M is closed in M , if it has no proper essential extensions in M . Also, Let N be a submodule of M , a relative complement of N in M is any submodule N' of M which is maximal with respect to the property that $N \cap N' = 0$. For details of these concepts see [3]. Recall that a module M is extending if, every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M .

The notion of the extending modules and their generalizations studied extensively by many authors. Oshiro in [7], introduced relative extending modules a generalization of the concept of extending modules. Following [7], let \mathcal{A} be subfamily of the family of all submodules of an R -module M , a module M is \mathcal{A} -extending if every submodule which with belong to \mathcal{A} is essential in a direct summand of M . On the other hand, K. Oshiro, S. Riziv and S.Permuth in [6],

^a Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al- Mustansiriyah University, Iraq, E-Mail: saalsaadi@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

^b Ministry of Education - Diyala, Iraq, E-Mail: darya_jabbar@yahoo.com

introduced N -extending modules, depending on the family $\mathcal{A}(N, M) = \{A \subseteq M \mid \exists X \subseteq N, \exists f \in \text{Hom}(X, M), f(X) \text{ is essential in } A\}$ where N, M are modules. They called a module M is N -extending (or M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$) if for each $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, there exists A' which is a direct summand of M such that A is essential in A' .

The author in [2] introduced and studied a class of modules which is stronger than extending modules. A module M is strongly extending if, every submodule in M is essential in a stable (equivalently, fully invariant) direct summand of M .

In paper, we introduce and study relative strongly extending modules which are stronger concepts of relative extending modules.

2. Strongly N -extending modules.

Recall that a submodule N of a module M is fully invariant if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ and a module M is called Duo if every submodule of M is fully invariant [8]. A submodule N of a module M is called stable if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$. A module M is called fully stable if each submodule of M is stable [1].

Definition (1.1): Let N be a module. A module M is said to be strongly N -extending if for each submodule A of M with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, is essential in a stable direct summand of M .

Remarks and Examples (1.2):

(1) Every strongly extending is strongly N -extending for each module N . But the converse is not true. For example, the Z -module $M = Z \oplus Z$ is Z_3 -extending which is not strongly extending.

(2) Every strongly N -extending is N -extending. But the converse is not true in general. For example, the Z -module $M = Z_2 \oplus Z$ is Z_2 -extending [6], which is not strongly Z_2 -extending. Since $Z_2 \oplus 0$ is closed submodule of M and belong to $\mathcal{A}(Z_2, M)$. Let $f: Z_2 \oplus (0) \rightarrow Z_2 \oplus Z$ by $f(\bar{x}, 0) = (0, x)$ for each $(\bar{x}, 0) \in Z_2 \oplus (0)$. f is Z -homomorphism while $f(Z_2 \oplus (0)) \not\subseteq Z_2 \oplus (0)$ so $Z_2 \oplus 0$ is not stable submodule of M .

(3) Every uniform module is strongly N -extending for each R -module N . In particular, Z as Z -module is strongly Z_2 -extending.

(4) But the converse of (3) every uniform module is strongly N -extending is not true. For example, Z_6 as Z -module is strongly Z_2 -extending which is not uniform.

(5) Since the set of all submodules of a modules M is coincide with $\mathcal{A}(M, M)$ [6]. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M is strongly extending;
- (ii) M is strongly M -extending;
- (iii) M is strongly N -extending for every module N .

The proof is direct from the fact " the set of all submodules of a modules M is coincide with $\mathcal{A}(M, M)$ [6]".

It is proved in [2] that a module M is strongly N -extending if and only if every closed submodule of M is a stable direct summand of M . This result leads us to give the following characterization of strongly N -extending modules.

Proposition (1.3): A module M is strongly N -extending if and only if every closed submodule of M which belongs to $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is a stable direct summand.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose that M is strongly N -extending module. Let K be a closed submodule of M with $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Since M is strongly N -extending, then there exists a stable direct summand B of M such that K is essential in B . But K is closed submodule of M , hence $K = B$ (i.e.) K is a stable direct summand of M .

(\Leftarrow) Let A be a submodule of M with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Thus, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a closed submodule H of M such that A is essential in H . Since $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is closed under essential extension, so $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. By hypothesis, H is a stable direct summand of M . Therefore, M is strongly N -extending. \square

The next results give us characterizations of strongly N -extending modules.

Proposition (1.4): A module M is strongly N -extending if and only if for each submodule A of M with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, there is a direct decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $A \subseteq M_1$ where M_1 is a stable submodule of M and $A \oplus M_2$ is essential of M .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose that M is strongly N -extending R -module. Let A be a submodule of M with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Thus A is essential in a stable direct summand (say) K of M (i.e.) $M = K \oplus K_1$, where K_1 is a submodule of M . Also, since A is essential in K and K_1 is essential in K_1 , thus $A \oplus K_1$ is essential in $K \oplus K_1 = M$. Hence $A \oplus K_1$ is essential submodule of M .

(\Leftarrow) Let A be a submodule of M with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. By hypothesis, there is a direct decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $A \subseteq M_1$ where M_1 is a stable submodule of M and $A \oplus M_2$ is essential in M . We claim that A is essential in M_1 . Let K be a nonzero submodule of M_1 , hence K is a submodule of M , so $(A \oplus M_2) \cap K \neq (0)$ (since $A \oplus M_2$ is essential in M). Let $k = a + m_2 (\neq 0)$, where $k \in K$, $a \in A$ and $m_2 \in M_2$, thus $m_2 = k - a$ which implies $m_2 \in M_1 \cap M_2 = (0)$, therefore $0 \neq k = a \in K \cap A$, then $K \cap A \neq (0)$, hence A is essential in M_1 . Thus M is strongly N -extending. \square

Following [2], every fully invariant direct summand is stable. By using this fact, we have directly the following characterization of strongly N -extending modules.

Proposition (1.5): A module M is strongly N -extending if and only if every submodule of M with belong $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is essential in a fully invariant direct summand of M . \square

Remark (1.6): From above Proposition, it can be restated that all results with stable direct summand being replaced by fully invariant direct summand.

In the following results, we discuss when a submodule of strongly N -extending module is strongly N -extending.

Proposition (1.7): A closed submodule of strongly N -extending is strongly N -extending.

Proof: Let H be a closed submodule of strongly N -extending module M . Let K be a closed submodule of H with $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, H)$. Since H is closed submodule of M , then K is closed of M . Also, $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Now, since M is strongly N -extending, thus K is a stable direct summand of M . But $K \subseteq H$, then K is direct summand of H . Also, we claim that K is a stable submodule of H .

Let $f: K \rightarrow H$ be any homomorphism and consider the sequence $\xrightarrow{f} H \xrightarrow{i} M$, where i is the inclusion mapping. Then $(i \circ f): K \rightarrow M$, and since K is stable of M , then $(i \circ f)(K) \subseteq K$. So $f(K) \subseteq K$. Then K is a stable direct summand of H . Therefore H is strongly N -extending. \square

Corollary (1.8): A direct summand of strongly N -extending module is strongly N -extending. \square

Corollary (1.9): A direct summand A of strongly N -extending module with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is strongly extending. \square

Proposition (1.10): Every submodule H of strongly N -extending module M and with the property that the intersection of H with any stable direct summand of M is stable direct summand of H , is strongly N -extending.

Proof: Let A be a submodule of H with $A \in \mathcal{A}(N, H)$. Since M is strongly N -extending and A is a submodule of M , then there is a stable direct summand K of M such that A is essential in K of M . But $A \subseteq K \cap H \subseteq K$, thus A is essential in $K \cap H$ and by hypothesis, $K \cap H$ stable direct summand of H . Hence H is strongly N -extending. \square

It is well known that a direct sum of (strongly) extending modules need not be (strongly) extending ([2])[9].

Here, we see that, a direct sum of strongly N -extending need not be strongly N -extending. In fact, Z and Z_2 are Z_2 -extending as Z -modules but we can conclude that from remarks and examples (1.2) (2), $Z_2 \oplus Z$ is not strongly Z_2 -extending as Z -module.

In the next result, we obtain when a direct sum of strongly N -extending module is strongly N -extending module.

Theorem(1.11): Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where M_1 and M_2 are strongly N -extending. Then M is strongly N -extending if and only if every closed submodule K belong to $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ with $K \cap M_1 = 0$ or $K \cap M_2 = 0$ is stable direct summand.

Proof: The necessity condition is valid by Proposition (1.8). Conversely, let L be a closed submodule of M with $L \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a closed submodule H in L such that $L \cap M_2$ is essential in H . Since L is a closed submodule of M , so H is a closed submodule of M . Clearly since $L \cap M_2$ is essential in H and M_1 is essential in M_1 so $(L \cap M_2) \cap M_1$ is essential in $H \cap M_1$. Since $(L \cap M_2) \cap M_1$ is essential in $H \cap M_1$ and $(L \cap M_2) \cap M_1 = 0$ so $H \cap M_1 = 0$. Then by hypothesis, H is a stable direct summand of M . Let $M = H \oplus H'$ for some submodule H' of M . But $L \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ and H is a submodule of L , then $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ (since $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is closed under submodules). Now, $L = L \cap M = L \cap (H \oplus H') = H \oplus (L \cap H')$. So $(L \cap H')$ is closed in M with $L \cap H' \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ (Since $(L \cap H')$ is a submodule of L and $L \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$). Also, $(L \cap H') \cap M_2 = 0$. By hypothesis, $(L \cap H')$ is a stable direct summand of M , and hence of H' (since $(L \cap H') \subseteq H'$). Thus, $H' = (L \cap H') \oplus K$, where K is a submodule of H' . Now, $M = H \oplus H' = H \oplus (L \cap H') \oplus K = (H \oplus (L \cap H')) \oplus K = L \oplus K$. It follows that L is a

direct summand of M . Since H and $L \cap H'$ are stable submodule of M and $L = H \oplus (L \cap H')$, then L is stable of M [1]. So L is a stable direct summand of M . Therefore, M is strongly N -extending. \square

Following [6], if M be an R -module and $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules, then $\mathcal{A}(N', M) \cup \mathcal{A}(N'', M) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(N, M)$.

Proposition (1.12): Let $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence. Then, a module M is strongly N -extending if and only if M is strongly N' -extending and M is strongly N'' -extending.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) It is clear by using the fact $\mathcal{A}(N', M) \cup \mathcal{A}(N'', M) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(N, M)$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that M is strongly N' -extending and strongly N'' -extending. Since $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact sequence. So one can assume that $N' \subseteq N$ and $N'' = N/N'$. Let $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, then there exists L be a submodule of N and there exists $g \in \text{Hom}(L, M)$ such that $g(L)$ is essential in K . Let K' be a submodule of K which is closure of the submodule $g(L \cap N')$. Since M is strongly N' -extending, then K' is a stable direct summand of M . Let $M = K' \oplus K''$, for some submodule K'' of M and $K = K' \oplus (K \cap K'')$. Let $\pi: K \rightarrow K \cap K''$ denote the canonical projection. Define $\theta: (L + N')/N' \rightarrow M$ by $\theta(x + N') = \pi g(x)$, for all $x \in L$. Note that given $x \in L$, if $x \in N'$ then $g(x) \in g(L \cap N') \subseteq K'$ and hence $\theta(x + N') = \pi g(x) = 0$. Thus, θ is well-defined and clearly $\theta \in \text{Hom}((L + N')/N', M)$. Now let $0 \neq y \in K \cap K''$. Then $0 \neq yr = g(u)$ for some $r \in R, u \in L$. Since $g(u) \in K \cap K''$ it follows that $0 \neq yr = g(u) = \pi g(u) = \theta(u + N')$. Thus $\theta((L + N')/N')$ is essential in the closed submodule $K \cap K''$ of the strongly N'' -extending module M . Hence $K \cap K''$ is a stable direct summand of M . Since K' and $K \cap K''$ are direct summand of M and $K = K' \oplus (K \cap K'')$, then K is direct summand of M . In other, direction, since K' and $K \cap K''$ are stable of M and $K = K' \oplus (K \cap K'')$, then K is a stable of M . So K is stable direct summand of M . Therefore, M is strongly N -extending. \square

Relative (quasi-)continuous modules have been considered by several authors. In [4], S. Dogrouz considers (quasi-)continuous modules relative to a class of modules. On other hand, Lopez, Oshiro and Rizivi in [6] introduced (quasi-)continuous modules relative a given R -module N . A module M is called N -continuous if satisfies N -extending and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_2$: Every submodule of M which is belong in $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$, which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand of M . A module M is called N -quasi-continuous if satisfies N -extending and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_3$: If two direct summand A and X of M which A belong in $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ have zero intersection, then their sum is a direct summand of M .

Here, we introduce relative strongly (quasi-)continuous modules.

Firstly, we can consider the following conditions for modules M and N :

$\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$: Every submodule of M which is belong in $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is essential in a stable direct summand of M .

$\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$: Every submodule of M which is belong in $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$, which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a stable direct summand of M .

$\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$: If two direct summand A and X of M which A belong in $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ have zero intersection, then their sum is a stable direct summand of M .

Definition (1.13): A module M is said to be strongly N -continuous if M satisfies, $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$.

Definition (1.14): A module M is said to be strongly N -quasi-continuous if M satisfies, $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$.

Examples (1.15):

(1) Every strongly N -(quasi-)continuous is N -(quasi-)continuous and the converse is not true in general. For example, $M = Z_2 \oplus Z$ is Z_2 -(quasi-)continuous as Z -module [6] which is not strongly Z_2 -quasi-continuous Z -module.

(2) Every strongly N -continuous module is strongly N -quasi-continuous and the converse is not true in general. For example, Z as Z -module is strongly Z -quasi-continuous but it is not strongly Z -continuous, since Z as Z -module does not satisfy $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ condition. In fact, $3Z \in \mathcal{A}(Z, Z)$ and $3Z \cong Z$ and is direct summand of Z . But $3Z$ is not stable direct summand of Z .

Recall that a module M is SS-module if every direct summand of M is stable [2] which is equivalent to weakly Duo module [8], that is, every direct summand is fully invariant. We introduce the following useful concept.

Definition (1.16): Let M and N are modules. M is called SS- N -module if, every direct summand D of M with $D \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is a stable.

It is clear that strongly N -extending modules, uniform modules, SS-modules, Duo modules and fully stable modules are examples of SS- N -module.

Remarks and Examples (1.17):

(1) Every SS-module is SS- N -extending for each module N . But the converse is not true in general. For example, the Z -module $M = Z \oplus Z$ is SS- N -module for each semisimple module N . But M is not SS-module, in fact, $Z \oplus (0)$ is direct summand of M which is not stable submodule of $M = Z \oplus Z$.

(2) A direct sum of SS- N -module need not be SS- N -module. For example, consider Z and Z_2 as Z -modules. Since Z and Z_2 are uniform Z -modules, then they are SS- Z_2 -module. But $M = Z_2 \oplus Z$ as Z -module is not SS- Z_2 -module. In fact the only proper direct summand of M are $(0) \oplus (0), Z_2 \oplus (0)$, $(0) \oplus Z$ and $Z \oplus Z_2$. One can easily check that $\mathcal{A}(Z_2, M) = \{(0), Z_2 \oplus (0)\}$. But $Z_2 \oplus (0)$ is not stable submodule of M .

(3) A direct summand of SS- N -module is SS- N -module.

Proof: Let M be SS- N -module and H be a direct summand of M . Let K be a direct summand of H with $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, H)$. Since H is a direct summand of M , then K is a direct summand of M . Also $K \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Now since M is SS- N -module, thus K is a stable submodule of M . But, $K \subseteq H$, then K is direct summand of H . We claim that K is stable of H . Let $f: K \rightarrow H$ be any

homomorphism. Thus $(i \circ f): K \rightarrow M$ where $i: H \rightarrow M$ is inclusion mapping and so $(i \circ f)(K) \subseteq K$. (i. e.) $f(K) \subseteq K$. Thus K is stable submodule of H . Hence H is SS- N -module. \square

In the following lemmas, we give a characterization of modules satisfy the conditions $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$, $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$.

Lemma (1.18): A module M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ condition if and only if M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$ condition and M has SS- N -extending.

Proof: (\implies) Let M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ condition. Then, clearly M satisfy $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$ condition. Let D be a direct summand of M such that $D \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. So, D is closed which belong to $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$, thus by Proposition (1.3), D is stable.

(\impliedby) Let H be a submodule of M with $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Then by $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$ property of M , H is essential in a direct summand D of M . But $D \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$, since $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is closed under essential extension. So by SS- N -module D is a stable. Therefore, M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$. \square

Lemma (1.19): A module M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ condition if and only if M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_2$ condition and M is SS- N -module.

Proof: (\implies) Let M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ condition. Then, clearly, M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_2$ condition. Let H is a direct summand of M with $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Since $H \cong H$ and hence by $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ property, H is a stable submodule of M . So M is SS- N -module.

(\impliedby) Let H be a submodule of M with $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ such that $H \cong D$ where D is a direct summand of M . Thus, by $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_2$ property H is a direct summand of M . Also, by SS- N -module property of M we have H is a stable of M . Therefore, M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$ condition. \square

Lemma (1.20): A module M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$ condition if and only if M has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_3$ condition and M is SS- N -module.

Proof: (\implies) Clearly every module M satisfies $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$ has $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_3$. Let H be a direct summand of M with $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$. Let $D = (0)$. So D and H are direct summands of M such that $H \cap D = (0)$. Thus, by $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$ property $H \oplus D = (0) \oplus H = H$ is stable submodule of M . Then M is SS- N -module.

(\impliedby) Let $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ such that H and K are direct summands of M and $H \cap K = (0)$. Thus, by $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_3$ property for M , $H \oplus D$ is a direct summand of M . Also, $H \oplus D \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ (since $H \oplus D$ is submodule of H and $H \in \mathcal{A}(N, M)$ and since $\mathcal{A}(N, M)$ is closed under submodule). Then by SS- N -module $H \oplus D$ stable of M . Hence M satisfies $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$ property. \square

By using above three lemmas, we have the following characterizations of strongly N -(quasi-)continuous modules. The proofs of these propositions are direct.

Proposition (1.21): A module M is strongly N -continuous if and only if M is N -continuous and M is SS- N -module. \square

Proposition (1.22): A module M is strongly N -quasi-continuous if and only if M is N -quasi-continuous and M is SS- N -module. \square

Proposition (1.23): A module M is strongly N -continuous if and only if M satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_2$. \square

Proposition (1.24): A module M is strongly N -quasi-continuous if and only if M satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_3$. \square

Proposition (1.25): A module M is strongly N -continuous if and only if M satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_2$. \square

Proposition (1.26): A module M is strongly N -quasi-continuous if and only if M satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(N, M)C_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N, M)SC_3$. \square

Following [6], a direct summand of N -(quasi)-continuous module is N -(quasi)-continuous. So, By Remarks and Example (1.17)(3) and Proposition(1.21) and Proposition (1.22), we assert that the strongly N -(quasi)-continuous modules is inherited by direct summands.

Proposition (1.27): A direct summand of strongly N -continuous module is strongly N -continuous.

Proposition (1.28): A direct summand of strongly N -quasi-continuous module is strongly N -quasi-continuous

By using the same argument of Proposition (1.12) we have the following results:

Proposition (1.29): Let $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules. Then a module M is strongly N -continuous if and only if M is strongly N' -continuous and strongly N'' -continuous. \square

Proposition (1.30): Let $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules. Then M is strongly N -quasi-continuous if and only if M is strongly N' -quasi-continuous and strongly N'' -quasi-continuous. \square

References

- [1] Abbas, M.S.: On fully stable modules, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Baghdad, 1991.
- [2] Al-Saadi, S.A: S-extending modules and related concepts, Ph. D. Thesis, Mustansiriyah Univ. 2007.
- [3] Anderson F.W., and Fuller, K.R.: Rings and Categories of modules, Springer-Verlag. New York 1973.
- [4] Dogruoz, S.: Extending modules relative to module classes, Ph. D. Thesis, Uinv. of Glasgow, 1997..
- [5] Dung, N.V. ; Hunynh, D.V. ; P.F.Smith and Wisbauer, R.: Extending modules, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 313(1994).

- [6] Lopez-permonth, S.R.; Oshiro, K. ; Rizivi, S.T. : On the relative (quasi-) continuity of modules, *Comm. Algebra* 26(1998), 3497-3510.
- [7] Oshrio, K. : Continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules, *Osaka J.Math*, 20(1983), 681-694.
- [8] Ozcan, A. C. Duo Modules, *Glasgow Math. J.Trust* 48(2006)533-545.
- [9] Simth, P.F. and Tercan, A. : Continuous and quasi-continuous modules, *Houston Math. J* 18(1992), 339-348.